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#### Abstract

We study the number $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ of irreducible polynomials of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ where the trace $\gamma$ and the constant term $c$ are given. Under certain conditions on $n$ and $q$, we obtain bounds on the maximum of $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ varying $c$ and $\gamma$. We show with concrete examples how our results improve previous known bounds. In addition, we improve upper and lower bounds of any $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ when $n=a(q-1)$ for nonzero constant term $c$ and nonzero trace $\gamma$. As a byproduct, we give a simple and explicit formula for the number $N(n, c, q)$ of irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of degree $n=q-1$ with prescribed primitive constant term $c$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $q=p^{\omega}$, where $p$ is a prime. The problem of estimating the number of irreducible polynomials of degree $n$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with some prescribed coefficients has been largely studied. Carlitz [1] and Kuz'min [8] give the number of irreducible polynomials with the first coefficient prescribed and the first two coefficients prescribed, respectively; see [2] for a similar result over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, and [11] for more general results. Yucas and Mullen [13] and Fitzgerald and Yucas [6] consider the number of irreducible polynomials of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ with the first three coefficients prescribed. Over any finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, Yucas [12] gives the number of irreducible polynomials with prescribed first or last coefficient. More recently, Moisio et al. [7, 10] consider the number of irreducible polynomials with fixed trace and norm. Their approach is based on exponential sums and provide explicit results for some particular cases different from ours. Our approach here is completely elementary and it is based on Yucas [12]. For

[^0]an excellent survey paper (up to 2005) on polynomials (irreducible or primitive) with prescribed coefficients, see Cohen [4]. We do not treat here the case of primitive polynomials with prescribed coefficients; see $[4,5,3]$.

We now give the format of the paper. In Section 2 we review the required background and fix the notation for this paper. The main results of this paper are given in Sections 3 and 4. Fix $q$ and $n$; we study $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$, the number of irreducible polynomials of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ where the trace $\gamma$ and the constant term $c$ are given. We obtain bounds on the maximum of $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ under certain conditions on $q$ and $n$ (Theorem 6). We show with concrete examples how our results improve previous bounds. Our results are particularly better when the degree $n$ is a multiple of $q-1$. We treat this case in Section 4. We give a simple and explicit formula for the number $N(n, c, q)$ of irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of degree $n=q-1$ with prescribed primitive constant term $c$, and a simple upper bound of it for $n=a(q-1)$ with $a>1$ (Theorem 9). Finally, we obtain improved upper and lower bounds of $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ with $n=a(q-1)$ and nonzero trace $\gamma$ and nonzero constant term $c$ (Theorems 13 and 14, respectively).

## 2. Background and notation

The number of irreducible polynomials of degree $n$ and trace $\gamma$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is denoted by $N_{\gamma}(n, q)$. For given $p$ and $m$, we say that $m$ is $p f r e e$, if $p \nmid m$. For $n=p^{\kappa} \psi$ where $\psi$ is pfree, Corollary 2.7 of [12] proves that the number $N_{\gamma}(n, q)$, for $\gamma \neq 0$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\gamma}(n, q)=\frac{1}{n q} \sum_{d \mid \psi} \mu(d) q^{n / d} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ represents the Mobius function defined by $\mu(1)=1 ; \mu(d)=0$, if $p^{2} \mid d$ for some prime $p$; and $\mu(d)=(-1)^{r}$ if $d$ is the product of $r$ distinct primes.

If $n=p^{\kappa} \psi$ then using $\kappa$ we introduce a variable $\varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon=1$ if $\kappa>0$ and $\varepsilon=0$ if $\kappa=0$. For trace zero, Corollary 2.8 of [12] gives $N_{0}(n, q)$ as

$$
N_{0}(n, q)=\frac{1}{n q} \sum_{d \mid \psi} \mu(d) q^{n / d}-\frac{\varepsilon}{n} \sum_{d \mid \psi} \mu(d) q^{n / d p}
$$

We use $N(n, c, q)$ for the number of irreducible polynomials of degree $n$ and constant term $c$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let

$$
D_{n}=\left\{r: r \mid q^{n}-1, r \nmid q^{m}-1 \text { for } m<n\right\} .
$$

For each $r \in D_{n}$, let $r=m_{r} d_{r}$, where $d_{r}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r, \frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}\right)$. It is easy to see that $m_{r} \mid q-1$. Suppose that the order of the constant $c$ is $\rho$. In [12] it is shown that the number $N(n, c, q)$ can be found as

$$
N(n, c, q)=\frac{1}{n \phi(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{r \in D_{n} \\ m_{r}=\rho}} \phi(r)
$$

where $\phi$ denotes Euler's function. In this sum for each $r \in D_{n}$ the number $m_{r}$ is fixed as $\rho=\operatorname{ord}(c)$. If both trace $\gamma$ and a nonzero contant $c$ are prescribed, Carlitz [1] obtained an asymptotic formula, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)=\frac{q^{n}-1}{n q(q-1)}+O\left(q^{n / 2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using a bijection $f(x) \mapsto c^{-1} x^{n} f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ equals the number of irreducible polynomials of degree $n$ in the arithmetic progression $\left\{a x+c+g(x) x^{2} \mid\right.$ $\left.g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]\right\}$, where $a=-\gamma c^{-1}$. Applying a general asymptotic bound on the number of primes on an arithmetic progression, Moisio [10] pointed out the following improvement of Equation (2), as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)=\frac{q^{n-1}}{n(q-1)}+O\left(\frac{q^{n / 2}}{n}\right)
$$

For the estimation of the error term, Wan [14] established the following effective bound

$$
\left|N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)-\frac{q^{n-1}}{n(q-1)}\right| \leq \frac{3}{n} q^{\frac{n}{2}}
$$

Recently, this bound was improved by Moisio [10] by considering two separate cases whether $\gamma$ is zero or not. He obtained for nonzero $\gamma$,

$$
\left|N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)-\frac{q^{n}-1}{n q(q-1)}\right|<\frac{2}{q-1} q^{\frac{n}{2}}
$$

and for zero trace

$$
\left|N_{0}(n, c, q)-\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)}\right|<\frac{2}{q-1} q^{\frac{n}{2}}
$$

The focus of this paper is in the study of $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$, where $\gamma$ and $c$ are given.

### 2.1. The structure of $D_{n}$

For a better understanding of $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$, where $1 \leq \gamma \leq q-1$, we need to know the structure of the set $D_{n}=\left\{r: r \mid q^{n}-1, r \nmid q^{m}-1\right.$ for $\left.m<n\right\}$. Let us assume that we have the prime factorization $q-1=p_{1}^{g_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}}$, such that $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ are distinct prime factors, and $g_{i} \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Similarly, we let $q^{n}-1=p_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} p_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{e_{t}}$, where $e_{i} \geq g_{i} \geq 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $e_{i} \geq 1$, for $k+1 \leq i \leq t$. Let $S_{1}=\{1, \ldots, k\}$, and $S_{2}=\{k+1, \ldots, t\}$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. For each $r \mid q^{n}-1$ where $r=m_{r} d_{r}$, with $d_{r}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r, \frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}\right)$ and $m_{r} \mid q-1$ there exists a positive integer $R$ such that $r=\frac{q^{n}-1}{R}$, and $\operatorname{gcd}(R, q-1)=$ $\frac{q-1}{m_{r}}$.

Proof. Since $r \mid q^{n}-1$, there exists $R$ such that $r=\left(q^{n}-1\right) / R$. Since $r=m_{r} d_{r}$ with $m_{r} \mid q-1$ and $d_{r}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r, \frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}\right)$, there exist integers $T$ and $V$, such that $q-1=m_{r} T$, and $\frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}=d_{r} V$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gcd}(R, q-1) & =\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{q^{n}-1}{m_{r} d_{r}}, q-1\right) \\
& =\frac{q-1}{m_{r}} \operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{q^{n}-1}{d_{r}(q-1)}, m_{r}\right)=\frac{q-1}{m_{r}} \operatorname{gcd}\left(V, m_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover $d_{r}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r, \frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{r} d_{r}, d_{r} V\right)=d_{r} \operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{r}, V\right)$. Hence, we get $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{r}, V\right)=1$, and the result follows.

In terms of the $\operatorname{gcd}(R, q-1)$ we can consider two cases:
Case 1: If $\operatorname{gcd}(R, q-1)=\frac{q-1}{m_{r}}=1$, then $m_{r}=q-1$, and all the factors of $R$ are from $q^{n-1}+q^{n-2}+\cdots+q+1$, and not from $q-1$. Then $r=$ $p_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{f_{t}}$, where $0 \leq f_{i} \leq e_{i}$, for $i \in S_{2}$.

Case 2: If $\operatorname{gcd}(R, q-1)>1$, then $m_{r}<q-1$ and there exist some common primes between $R$ and $q-1$. Then let $r$ be given by $r=p_{1}^{f_{1}} p_{2}^{f_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{f_{k}} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{f_{t}}$ where $f_{i} \leq e_{i}$ for all $i \in S_{1} \cup S_{2}$, and let us assume that the factorization of $q^{m}-1$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{m}-1=p_{1}^{h_{m, 1}} \ldots p_{k}^{h_{m, k}} p_{k+1}^{h_{m, k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{h_{m, t}} p_{t+1}^{h_{m, t+1}} \ldots p_{l}^{h_{m, l}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{m, i} \geq g_{i}$, for $i \in S_{1}$, and $h_{m, i} \geq 0$, for $i \in S_{2}$. Also for all $i=t+1, \ldots, l$, we have $h_{m, i} \geq 1$.

Now let us consider the structure of $D_{n}$. For the above $r$ to be in $D_{n}, r$ must not a divisor of $q^{m}-1$ for any $m \leq n-1$. To separate the two cases, we represent the elements of $D_{n}$ by $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ where $r=\frac{q^{n}-1}{R}$, with $\operatorname{gcd}(R, q-1)=1$, and $r^{\prime}=\frac{q^{n}-1}{R^{\prime}}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(R^{\prime}, q-1\right)>1$ respectively. Let $p_{1}^{f_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{f_{k}} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{f_{t}}$ be any $r$ or $r^{\prime}$ from the set $D_{n}$. Since $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ are not divisors of $q^{m}-1$, for $m \leq n-1$, we have the following conditions

1. $f_{i} \leq e_{i}$ for all $i \in S_{1} \cup S_{2}$;
2.(a) $f_{j}=e_{j}$, for all $j \in S_{1}$, and $r=p_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{f_{t}} \nmid q^{m}-1$, for $m \leq n-1$; or
2.(b) There exist $\delta \in S_{1}$ such that $f_{\delta}<e_{\delta}$. Then since for all $m \leq n-1$ we have $p_{1}^{f_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{f_{k}} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{f_{t}} \nmid q^{m}-1$, by considering (3) as the factorization of $q^{m}-1$, there exists $j \in S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ such that $f_{j}>h_{m, j}$.

### 2.2. Fixed constant term with different traces

Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$be a fixed nonzero constant. We study the number of irreducible polynomials of degree $n$ and constant term $c$ for different values of the trace coefficient.

Lemma 2. Let $\gamma$ and $\delta$ be two nonzero traces. If $c$ is a constant from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, then

$$
N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)=N_{\delta}\left(n, c\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)^{n}, q\right) .
$$

Proof. Suppose $\gamma$ and $\delta$ are two nonzero traces in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and let $P_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ denote the set of all irreducible polynomials of degree $n$, trace $\gamma$ and constant term $c$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. We show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between $P_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ and $P_{\delta}\left(n, c\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)^{n}, q\right)$. For this we consider the mapping used in Lemma 2.1 of [12]. Namely, let the mapping $\varphi: P_{\gamma}(n, c, q) \rightarrow P_{\delta}\left(n, c\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)^{n}, q\right)$ be defined by

$$
\varphi(f(x))=\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)^{n} f\left(\frac{\gamma}{\delta} x\right)
$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\phi$ is well-defined and it is a bijection.
Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}=\left\{a_{0}=0, a_{1}=1, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{q-1}\right\}$. The following table gives the number of irreducible polynomials of degree $n$ with given trace and constant term.

Table 1: Distribution of polynomials of degree $n$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

| $\operatorname{Tr}$ | $a_{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{j}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{q-1}$ | Row Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{0}$ | $y_{0,1}$ | $\cdots$ | $y_{0, j}$ | $\cdots$ | $y_{0, q-1}$ | $N_{0}(n, q)$ |
| $a_{1}$ | $x_{1,1}$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{1, j}$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{1, q-1}$ | $N_{1}(n, q)$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $a_{i}$ | $x_{i, 1}$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{i, j}$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{i, q-1}$ | $N_{i}(n, q)$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $a_{q-1}$ | $x_{q-1,1}$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{q-1, j}$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{q-1, q-1}$ | $N_{q-1}(n, q)$ |
| Column Total | $N(n, 1, q)$ | $\cdots$ | $N(n, j, q)$ | $\cdots$ | $N(n, q-1, q)$ | $N(n, q)$ |

Abusing notation, if $c=a_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, for some $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, q-1\}$, then we denote $N(n, c, q)$ by $N(n, j, q)$. Also for $\gamma=a_{i}$, where $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, q-1\}$, we use $N_{i}(n, q)$ for $N_{\gamma}(n, q)$. Moreover $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)=N_{i}(n, j, q)$, where $0 \leq i, j \leq$ $q-1$, and $j \neq 0$. For simplicity, we use notations $x_{i, j}$ for $N_{i}(n, j, q)$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq q-1$, and $y_{0, j}$ for $N_{0}(n, j, q)$ where $1 \leq j \leq q-1$.

For any $n$, we know that $c\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)^{n}=c^{\prime}$ is a constant in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Clearly by Lemma 2, we have $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)=N_{\delta}\left(n, c^{\prime}, q\right)$, which implies that for any nonzero traces $\gamma=a_{i}$ and $\delta=a_{k}$ the numbers on the row $a_{k}$ are a permutation of the numbers on the row $a_{i}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq q-1$. If we consider any column which is related to a constant $c=a_{j}$ then we have an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0, j}+\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} x_{i, j}=N(n, j, q) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also in column $a_{j}$ we know that some entries $x_{i, j}$ could be repeated. Let $R_{j}=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ be the set of indices $i$ in the column $a_{j}$ such that no $x_{i, j}$ is repeated. Clearly $R_{j} \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, q-1\}$, and if in the column $a_{j}$ there is no repeated entry, then $R_{j}=\{1,2, \ldots, q-1\}$. Let $A_{i, j}$ represent the number of times $x_{i, j}$ appears in the entries of column $a_{j}$. Then by Equation (4), for each column $a_{j}$, we have

$$
y_{0, j}+\sum_{i \in R_{j}} A_{i, j} x_{i, j}=N(n, j, q) .
$$

The last column of Table 1 gives the total number of polynomials in each row, and the last row gives the total number of polynomials in each column. By Equation (1) we have

$$
N(n, q)=N_{0}(n, q)+\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} N_{i}(n, q)=N_{0}(n, q)+(q-1) N_{1}(n, q)
$$

Next we study $x_{i, j}, y_{0, j}$, and $N(n, j, q)$.

## 3. Our bounds for $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$

Let $\gamma=a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, and $c=a_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$be any given elements, where $0 \leq i \leq q-1$, and $1 \leq j \leq q-1$. In Theorem 5.1 of [14], bounds for the number $x_{i, j}$ are given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{i, j}-\frac{q^{n-1}}{n(q-1)}\right| \leq \frac{3}{n} q^{\frac{n}{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [10], better bounds for $x_{i, j}$ are given by considering different cases for the trace. If the trace is zero, from Corollary 3.4 of [10], then we have the following bounds for $y_{0, j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y_{0, j}-\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)}\right| \leq \frac{s-1}{n} q^{\frac{n-2}{2}}+\frac{q^{\frac{n}{2}}-1}{q-1}<\frac{2}{q-1} q^{\frac{n}{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\operatorname{gcd}(n, q-1)$. For a nonzero trace $\gamma=a_{i}$ we have $i>0$. By Corollary 4.3 of [10], we have the following bounds for $x_{i, j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{i, j}-\frac{q^{n}-1}{n q(q-1)}\right| \leq q^{\frac{n-2}{2}}+\frac{q^{\frac{n}{2}}-1}{q(q-1)}+\frac{n}{2} q^{\frac{n-4}{4}}<\frac{2}{q-1} q^{\frac{n}{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the constant $c=a_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, where $1 \leq j \leq q-1$, is such that $\rho=\operatorname{ord}(c)$. Let $x_{r, j}=\max \left\{x_{i, j}: i \in R_{j}\right\}$. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 3. If $c=a_{j}$ is a given constant from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, for some $1 \leq j \leq q-1$, then

$$
\frac{N(n, j, q)}{q-1}-\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)^{2}}-\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(q-1)^{2}} \leq x_{r, j} \leq \frac{N(n, j, q)}{A_{r, j}}-\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1) A_{r, j}}+\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(q-1) A_{r, j}}
$$

Proof. From Equation (6) we have

$$
\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)}-\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1} \leq y_{0, j} \leq \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)}+\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1}
$$

By adding $\sum_{i \in R_{j}} A_{i, j} x_{i, j}$ to each expression in this inequality, we have

$$
\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)}-\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1}+\sum_{i \in R_{j}} A_{i, j} x_{i, j} \leq N(n, j, q) \leq \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)}+\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1}+\sum_{i \in R_{j}} A_{i, j} x_{i, j}
$$

Then applying the lower and upper bounds for $\sum_{i \in R_{j}} A_{i, j} x_{i, j}$, we have

$$
\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)}-\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1}+A_{r, j} x_{r, j} \leq N(n, j, q) \leq \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)}+\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1}+(q-1) x_{r, j}
$$

which implies the result.
Next we provide lower and upper bounds for $x_{r, j}$ in terms of $n$ and $q-1$, instead of $N(n, j, q)$. We need to find lower and upper bounds for $N(n, j, q)$.

Definition 4. Let $q$ and $n$ be two positive integers, and the prime factorization of $q^{n}-1$ be given by $q^{n}-1=p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \ldots p_{t}^{e_{t}}$, where $p_{t}$ is the largest prime factor of $q^{n}-1$. Then, the pair $(q, n)$ is said to be a lps (largest prime survives) pair of integers, if $p_{t} \nmid q^{m}-1$, for $m<n$.

Experimental data show that for any $q$, there exist many $n$ 's such that $(q, n)$ is a lps pair. We also found some sporadic pairs $(q, n)$ that are not lps pairs. Let $v=p_{t}^{e_{t}}$, and $m_{r} \mid q-1$ be fixed, where $1 \leq m_{r} \leq q-1$. We let $m_{r}=\rho=\operatorname{ord}(c)$. Then suppose that $D_{\rho, v}$ is the subset of $D_{n}$ defined by those $r$ which $v$ divides them, that is

$$
D_{\rho, v}=\left\{r \in D_{n}: r=m_{r} d_{r}, m_{r}=\rho, v \mid r\right\} .
$$

Lemma 5. Let ( $q, n$ ) be a lps pair of integers. Suppose that $p_{t}$ is the largest prime in the prime factorization of $q^{n}-1$, and $m_{r} \mid q-1$ be fixed as $\rho=\operatorname{ord}(c)$. Then for all $r \in D_{\rho, v}$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{n \phi(\rho)} \sum_{r \in D_{\rho, v}} \phi(r)=\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{t}}\right) \frac{q^{n}-1}{n(q-1)}
$$

Proof. Let $m_{r}=\rho$ be a fixed divisor of $q-1$. Then $m_{r}=p_{1}{ }^{l_{1}} \ldots p_{k}{ }^{l_{k}}$, where $0 \leq l_{i} \leq g_{i}$, for $i \in S_{1}=\{1, \ldots, k\}$. Each $r \in D_{\rho, v}$ is $r=m_{r} d_{r}$, where $m_{r}=\rho$, and $v \mid r$. By Lemma 1 such $r$ can be given by $r=\frac{q^{n}-1}{R}$, where

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(R, q-1)=\frac{q-1}{m_{r}}=p_{1}^{g_{1}-l_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}-l_{k}} .
$$

Therefore $R=p_{1}{ }^{g_{1}-l_{1}} \ldots p_{k}{ }^{g_{k}-l_{k}} p_{k+1}{ }^{c_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t-1}{ }^{c_{t-1}}$, where $0 \leq c_{i} \leq e_{i}$, for all $i \in S_{2}-\{t\}=\{k+1, \ldots, t-1\}$. Then each $r \in D_{\rho, v}$ can be considered as

$$
r=p_{1}{ }^{e_{1}-g_{1}+l_{1}} \ldots p_{k}{ }^{e_{k}-g_{k}+l_{k}} p_{k+1}^{d_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t-1}^{d_{t-1}} p_{t}^{e_{t}}
$$

such that $d_{i}=e_{i}-c_{i}$, for $i \in S_{2}-\{t\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n \phi(\rho)} \sum_{r \in D_{\rho, v}} \phi(r) \\
= & \frac{\sum_{d_{k+1}, \ldots d_{t-1}} \phi\left(\left(\prod_{s=1}^{k} p_{s}{ }^{e_{s}-g_{s}+l_{s}}\right)\left(\prod_{u=k+1}^{t-1} p_{u}{ }^{d_{u}}\right) p_{t}^{e_{t}}\right)}{n \phi\left(p_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots p_{k} l_{k}\right)} \\
= & \frac{\phi\left(\prod_{s=1}^{k} p_{s}{ }^{e_{s}-g_{s}+l_{s}}\right)}{n \phi\left(p_{1} l_{1} \ldots p_{k} l_{k}\right)}\left(\prod_{u=k+1}^{t-1} \sum_{d_{u}=0}^{e_{u}} \phi\left(p_{u}{ }^{d_{u}}\right)\right) \phi\left(p_{t}^{e_{t}}\right) \\
= & \frac{\prod_{s=1}^{k}\left(p_{s}-1\right) p_{s}{ }^{e_{s}-g_{s}+l_{s}-1}}{n \prod_{s=1}^{k}\left(p_{s}-1\right) p_{s}^{l_{s}-1}}\left(\prod_{u=k+1}^{t-1} p_{u}^{e_{u}}\right) p_{t}^{e_{t}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{t}}\right) \\
= & \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{t}}\right)}{n}\left(\prod_{s=1}^{t} p_{s}^{e_{s}}\right)\left(\prod_{u=1}^{k} p_{u}^{-g_{u}}\right)=\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{t}}\right) \frac{q^{n}-1}{n(q-1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We state now our main result about the bounds for $x_{r, j}$.
Theorem 6. Suppose that $(q, n)$ is a lps pair of integers, and $c=a_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$be such that $\rho=\operatorname{ord}(c)$, for some $1 \leq j \leq q-1$. If $p_{t}$ is the largest prime in the factorization of $q^{n}-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{t}}\right)\left(q^{n}-1\right)-q^{n-1}-2 n q^{\frac{n}{2}}+1}{n(q-1)^{2}} \leq x_{r, j} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{A_{r, j}}\left(\frac{q^{n}-1}{n \rho}-\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)}+\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For a given $m_{r}=\rho$, using the definition of $D_{\rho, v}$ and that $(q, n)$ is a lps pair, we have

$$
N(n, j, q)=\frac{1}{n \phi(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{r \in D_{n} \\ m_{r}=\rho}} \phi(r) \geq \frac{1}{n \phi(\rho)} \sum_{r \in D_{\rho, v}} \phi(r)
$$

Therefore, using Lemma 5, a lower bound for $N(n, j, q)$ can be given as

$$
N(n, j, q) \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{t}}\right) \frac{q^{n}-1}{n(q-1)}
$$

Using Lemma 3, we obtain the stated lower bound for $x_{r, j}$.
An upper bound for $N(n, j, q)$ can be derived using

$$
N(n, j, q)=\frac{1}{n \phi(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{r \in D_{n} \\ m_{r}=\rho}} \phi(r) \leq \frac{1}{n \phi(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{r \mid q^{n}-1 \\ m_{r}=\rho}} \phi(r),
$$

where the sum at the right-hand side is simply

$$
\frac{1}{n \phi(\rho)} \sum_{\rho d_{r} \mid q^{n}-1} \phi\left(\rho d_{r}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d_{r} \left\lvert\, \frac{q^{n}-1}{\rho}\right.} \phi\left(d_{r}\right)=\frac{q^{n}-1}{n \rho} .
$$

Using Lemma 3, this implies the stated upper bound for $x_{r, j}$.
The following table compares our lower bound with other lower bounds. We choose different $n$ and $q$ such that $(q, n)$ is a lps pair of integers, and they are small enough to compute the number in the table. For each entry $(a, b, c), a$ represents the lower bound obtained by Wan, $b$ the one by Moisio, and $c$ ours. To compare our lower bound and Moisio's lower bound in general, we look at

Table 2: Different lower bounds for $x_{r, j}$.

|  | Degree $n$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ | $(0,0,1.74)$ | $(140.19,142.55,164.56)$ | $(31216.48,31030.21,31257.89)$ |
| $\mathbb{F}_{5}$ | $(0,0,3.94)$ | $(438.2,476.5,523.06)$ | $(220040.28,220107.19,221072.5)$ |
| $\mathbb{F}_{7}$ | $(0,4.14,8.24)$ | $(2412.24,2634.88,2750.06)$ | $(4267800.61,4272351.16,4277440.6)$ |
| $\mathbb{F}_{8}$ | $(0,7.16,14.07)$ | $(4729.24,5126.36,5272.63)$ | $(13919422.13,13931249.46,13940889.49)$ |
| $\mathbb{F}_{9}$ | $(0,10.66,19.62)$ | $(8552.73,9198.47,9411.91)$ | $(39574237.19,39600149.44,39605439.16)$ |
| $\mathbb{F}_{11}$ | $(0,19.18,30.25)$ | $(23416.12,24845.44,25219.11)$ | $(235649092.99,235740989.11,235783942.58)$ |
| $\mathbb{F}_{13}$ | $(0,29.7,40.51)$ | $(54067.13,56777.94,57351.98)$ | $(1044017409.66,1044270464.84,1044301207.22)$ |

their difference,

$$
\frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{t}}\right)\left(q^{n}-1\right)-q^{n-1}-2 n q^{\frac{n}{2}}+1}{n(q-1)^{2}}-\frac{q^{n}-1}{n q(q-1)}+\frac{2}{q-1} q^{\frac{n}{2}}
$$

or,

$$
-\frac{\left(q^{n}-1\right)}{n(q-1)^{2} p_{t}}+\frac{2(q-2)}{(q-1)^{2}} q^{\frac{n}{2}}+\frac{1}{n q(q-1)}
$$

Therefore, if the number $p_{t}$ is of size $q^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ or larger, then this difference is positive, and so our bound is better. Checking different $q$ and $n$, this situation happens very often.

Remark. If $A_{r, j}=\rho=q-1$, our upper bound is better than Moisio's upper bound. In the next section we show that this is the case if $n$ is a multiple of $q-1$. Examples are given in the next section.

## 4. The special case $n$ being a multiple of $q-1$

Suppose that the degree of the polynomials is fixed as $n=a(q-1)$, for some positive integer $a$. Then we have the following results.

Lemma 7. Let $1 \leq m \leq n-1, q-1 \nmid m$, and $n=a(q-1)$, for some positive integer $a$. Then $(q-1)^{2} \mid q^{n}-1$ and $(q-1)^{2} \nmid q^{m}-1$. In particular, $n^{2} \mid a^{2}\left(q^{n}-1\right)$, and $n^{2} \nmid a^{2}\left(q^{m}-1\right)$.

Proof. From $q^{i} \equiv 1(\bmod q-1)$ for any positive integer $i$, we have $q^{m-1}+$ $q^{m-2}+\cdots+q+1 \equiv m \not \equiv 0(\bmod q-1)$ and $q^{n-1}+q^{n-2}+\cdots+q+1 \equiv n \equiv 0$ $(\bmod q-1)$. Hence, multiplying by $q-1$, we have the conclusion.

Lemma 8. Suppose that $n=a(q-1)$, for some integer a. Let $r=\frac{q^{n}-1}{R}$ such that $R \mid q^{n}-1$, and $\operatorname{gcd}(R, q-1)=1$, that is $m_{r}=q-1$. Then $r \nmid\left(q^{m}-1\right)$, for all $m=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, and $m$ is not a multiple of $q-1$.

Proof. Since $q-1=p_{1}^{g_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}}, q^{n}-1=p_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} p_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{e_{t}}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(R, q-$ 1) $=1, r$ has the form $r=\left(q^{n}-1\right) / R=p_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{f_{t}}$, where $0 \leq$ $f_{i} \leq e_{i}$, for $i \in S_{2}$. It is clear that $(q-1)^{2} \mid r$ since $e_{i} \geq 2 g_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Now we show that $r \nmid q^{m}-1$, for $m=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ and $q-1 \nmid m$. Suppose $r \mid q^{m}-1$. Since $n^{2}=a^{2}(q-1)^{2} \mid a^{2} r$, we have $n^{2} \mid a^{2}\left(q^{m}-1\right)$, which contricts to Lemma 7.

Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$be such that $\rho=\operatorname{ord}(c)$. The constant $c$ can be a primitive, or nonprimitive constant. For different $r$, in the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(n, c, q)=\frac{1}{n \phi(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{r \in D_{n} \\ m_{r}=\rho}} \phi(r), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the value of $m_{r}$ is fixed as $m_{r}=\rho$. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$represent any primitive element. Then obviously $\rho=q-1$, and let $r \in D_{n}$ be such that $m_{r}=\rho=q-1$.

Theorem 9. Let $n=a(q-1)$, for some integer $a$, and $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$be primitive. Then

$$
N(n, c, q) \leq \frac{q^{n}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}}
$$

In addition, if $q$ and $n$ are such that $p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} \nmid q^{m}-1$, for $m$ multiple of $q-1$ and $m<n$, where $q^{n}-1=p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} p_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{e_{t}}$, then $N(n, c, q)=\frac{q^{n}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}}$.

Proof. Let $q-1=p_{1}^{g_{1}} p_{2}^{g_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}}$ be the prime factorization of $q-1$, where $g_{i} \geq 1$, for $i \in S_{1}$. Similarly, $q^{n}-1=p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} p_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{e_{t}}$, such that $e_{i} \geq g_{i} \geq 1$, when $i \in S_{1}$, and $e_{i} \geq 1$, when $i \in S_{2}$. Since $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$is primitive, so $\rho=q-1$. Let $n=a(q-1)$, then by Equation (8) we have

$$
N(n, c, q)=\frac{1}{a(q-1) \phi(q-1)} \sum_{\substack{r \in D_{n} \\ m_{r}=q-1}} \phi(r)
$$

For any $r=\left(q^{n}-1\right) / R$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(R, q-1)=(q-1) / m_{r}=1$ and $R=$ $p_{k+1}{ }^{c_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}{ }^{c_{t}}$ with $0 \leq c_{i} \leq e_{i}$ for $i \in S_{2}$, we can write $r=\left(q^{n}-1\right) / R=$ $p_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{f_{t}}$, where $f_{i}=e_{i}-c_{i}$, for $i \in S_{2}$. By Lemma $8, r \nmid q^{m}-1$, for all $m$ not multiple of $q-1$, and $m<n$. Since $p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} \nmid q^{m}-1$ for all $m$ multiple of $q-1$ and $m<n$, we conclude that any $r$ of this form is in $D_{n}$. Hence, the number $N(n, c, q)$ can be given by

$$
N(n, c, q)=\frac{1}{a\left(p_{1}^{g_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}}\right) \phi\left(p_{1}^{g_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}}\right)} \sum_{f_{k+1}, \ldots, f_{t}} \phi\left(p_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{f_{t}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\phi\left(p_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}}\right)}{a\left(p_{1}^{g_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}}\right) \phi\left(p_{1}^{g_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}}\right)} \sum_{f_{k+1}, \ldots, f_{t}} \phi\left(p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{f_{t}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(p_{1}^{e_{1}-g_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}-g_{k}}\right)}{a\left(p_{1}^{g_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}}\right)} \prod_{s=k+1}^{t} \sum_{f_{s}=0}^{e_{s}} \phi\left(p_{s}^{f_{s}}\right) \\
& =\frac{p_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}}}{a\left(p_{1}^{2 g_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}}\right)} \prod_{s=k+1}^{t} p_{s}^{e_{s}}=\frac{q^{n}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we observe that if $p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} \mid q^{m}-1$, for some $m$ multiple of $q-1$ and $m<n$, then we can only conclude that $N(n, c, q)<\frac{q^{n}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}}$.

Suppose that $c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$is any nonprimitive constant, which is related to $r^{\prime} \in D_{n}$, where $r^{\prime}=m_{r^{\prime}} d_{r^{\prime}}$ and $m_{r^{\prime}}=\rho^{\prime}=\operatorname{ord}\left(c^{\prime}\right)<q-1$, we have $r^{\prime} \in D_{n}$, such that $r^{\prime}=\frac{q^{n}-1}{R^{\prime}}$, and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q-1, R^{\prime}\right)=\frac{q-1}{m_{r^{\prime}}}>1$. Moreover $r^{\prime} \nmid q^{m}-1$, for $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. Let us remove the last condition and define $\widehat{r}^{\prime}=\frac{q^{n}-1}{\widehat{R}^{\prime}}$, such that $\widehat{R}^{\prime} \mid q^{n}-1$, and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q-1, \widehat{R}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{q-1}{m_{r^{\prime}}}>1$.

Lemma 10. Let $c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$be nonprimitive, where $\rho^{\prime}=\operatorname{ord}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=m_{r^{\prime}}<q-1$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{n \phi\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{\widehat{r}^{\prime}} \phi\left(\widehat{r}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{q^{n}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}}
$$

where the sum runs over all $\widehat{r}^{\prime}$, defined as $\widehat{r}^{\prime}=\frac{q^{n}-1}{\widehat{R}^{\prime}}$, with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q-1, \widehat{R}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\frac{q-1}{m_{r^{\prime}}}=\frac{q-1}{\rho^{\prime}}$.

Proof. Suppose $c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$be such that $\rho^{\prime}=\operatorname{ord}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=m_{r^{\prime}}=p_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots p_{k}{ }^{l_{k}} \mid q-1$, where $0 \leq l_{i} \leq g_{i}$, for $i \in S_{1}$. Let $\widehat{r}^{\prime}=\frac{q^{n}-1}{\widehat{R}^{\prime}}$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(q-1, \widehat{R})=\frac{q-1}{m_{r^{\prime}}}=$ $p_{1}^{g_{1}-l_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}-l_{k}}$. This implies that $\widehat{R}^{\prime}=p_{1}^{g_{1}-l_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}-l_{k}} p_{k+1}{ }^{c_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{c_{t}}$, with $0 \leq c_{i} \leq e_{i}$, for $i \in S_{2}$. Therefore, for $d_{i}=e_{i}-c_{i}$ and $i \in S_{2}, \widehat{r}^{\prime}$ can be considered as $\widehat{r}^{\prime}=p_{1}^{e_{1}-g_{1}+l_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}-g_{k}+l_{k}} p_{k+1}^{d_{k}+1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n \phi\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{\widehat{r}^{\prime}} \phi\left(\hat{r}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{a\left(p_{1}^{g_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}}\right) \phi\left(p_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{l_{k}}\right)} \sum_{d_{k+1}, \ldots d_{t}} \phi\left(\left(\prod_{s=1}^{k} p_{s}^{e_{s}-g_{s}+l_{s}}\right) \prod_{u=k+1}^{t} p_{u}^{d_{u}}\right) \\
= & \frac{\phi\left(\prod_{s=1}^{k} p_{s}^{e_{s}-g_{s}+l_{s}}\right)}{a\left(p_{1}^{g_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}}\right) \phi\left(p_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{l_{k}}\right)}\left(\prod_{u=k+1}^{t} \sum_{d_{u}=0}^{e_{u}} \phi\left(p_{u}^{d_{u}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\prod_{s=1}^{k}\left(p_{s}-1\right) p_{s}{ }^{e_{s}-g_{s}+l_{s}-1}}{a \prod_{s=1}^{k}\left(p_{s}-1\right) p_{s} g_{s}+l_{s}-1}\left(\prod_{u=k+1}^{t} p_{u}{ }^{e_{u}}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{a} \prod_{s=1}^{k} p_{s}{ }^{e_{s}-2 g_{s}}\right)\left(\prod_{u=k+1}^{t} p_{u}{ }^{e_{u}}\right)=\frac{q^{n}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 11. If $n=a(q-1)$, for some integer $a$. Then for any nonprimitive constant $c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, we have $N\left(n, c^{\prime}, q\right) \leq \frac{q^{n}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}}$.

Proof. For the nonprimitive $c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, let $\rho^{\prime}=\operatorname{ord}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$. Then by Lemma 10 ,

$$
\frac{q^{n}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}}=\frac{1}{n \phi\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{\widehat{r}^{\prime}} \phi\left(\widehat{r}^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{1}{n \phi\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{\substack{r^{\prime} \in D_{n}, m_{r^{\prime}}=\rho^{\prime}}} \phi\left(r^{\prime}\right)=N\left(n, c^{\prime}, q\right)
$$

If $n=a(q-1)$, then we have the following restatement of Lemma 2.
Lemma 12. Let $n=a(q-1)$ for some integer $a$, and $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$be any constant. Then for any two nonzero traces $\gamma$ and $\delta$, we have $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)=N_{\delta}(n, c, q)$.

This means that, when $n=a(q-1)$, for any $i, l \in\{1,2, \ldots, q-1\}$, and $j \in$ $\{0,1, \ldots, q-1\}$, we have $x_{i, j}=x_{l, j}$. So we let $x_{j}$ represent $x_{i, j}$. Moreover, for $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, let $N_{\gamma}\left(n, a_{j}, q\right)=x_{j}$, and $N_{0}\left(n, a_{j}, q\right)=y_{j}$, where $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, q-1\}$; see Table 3. In Table 3, we have the same rows for different $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$. In this case,

Table 3: Distribution of polynomials of degree $n=a(q-1)$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

| Tr Cons | $a_{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{j}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{q-1}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{0}$ | $y_{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $y_{j}$ | $\cdots$ | $y_{q-1}$ | $N_{0}(n, q)$ |
| $a_{1}$ | $x_{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{j}$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{q-1}$ | $N_{1}(n, q)$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $a_{q-1}$ | $x_{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{j}$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{q-1}$ | $N_{q-1}(n, q)$ |
| Total | $N(n, 1, q)$ | $\cdots$ | $N(n, j, q)$ | $\cdots$ | $N(n, q-1, q)$ | $N(n, q)$ |

let $A_{j}$ be the number of repeated entries of the column $a_{j}$, where $1 \leq j \leq q-1$. Clearly $A_{j}=q-1$. Thus for a given nonzero constant $c$ (or $c^{\prime}$ ), Equation (4) changes to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{c}+(q-1) x_{c}=N(n, c, q) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then using Equation (9), and Theorem 9, we have the following bounds for $x_{c}$.

Theorem 13. Let $n=a(q-1)$, such that $q-1=p_{1}^{g_{1}} p_{2}^{g_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{g_{k}}, q^{n}-1=$ $p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} p_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}} \ldots p_{t}^{e_{t}}$ satisfies $p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} \nmid q^{m}-1$, for $m$ multiple of $q-1$, and $m<n$. Then for any primitive constant $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$we have

$$
\left|x_{c}-\frac{q^{n}-q^{n-1}}{a(q-1)^{3}}\right| \leq \frac{2}{(q-1)^{2}} q^{\frac{n}{2}}
$$

Proof. Let $n=a(q-1)$, for some integer $a$, and $c=a_{j}$ be a primitive constant from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, for some $1 \leq j \leq q-1$. Then $A_{j}=q-1$, and $\rho=\operatorname{ord}(c)=q-1$. Suppose that $q$ and $n$ are such that $p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} \nmid q^{m}-1$, for $m$ multiple of $q-1$, and $m<n$. Then by Theorem 9 , the lower and upper bounds for $x_{c}$ given in Lemma 3 change to

$$
\frac{q^{n}-q^{n-1}}{a(q-1)^{3}}-\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(q-1)^{2}} \leq x_{c} \leq \frac{q^{n}-q^{n-1}}{a(q-1)^{3}}+\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(q-1)^{2}}
$$

We note that the upper bound does not require the condition $p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{e_{k}} \nmid$ $q^{m}-1$, for $m$ multiple of $q-1$, and $m<n$.

The difference between our lower bound and Moisio's lower bound is
$\frac{q^{n}-q^{n-1}}{a(q-1)^{3}}-\frac{2}{(q-1)^{2}} q^{\frac{n}{2}}-\frac{q^{n}-1}{a q(q-1)^{2}}+\frac{2}{q-1} q^{\frac{n}{2}}=\frac{1}{(q-1)^{2}}\left(2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}(q-2)+\frac{1}{a q}\right)$, which is always positive. This shows that our lower bound is better.

The difference between our upper bound and Moisio's upper bound is
$\frac{q^{n}-q^{n-1}}{a(q-1)^{3}}+\frac{2}{(q-1)^{2}} q^{\frac{n}{2}}-\frac{q^{n}-1}{a q(q-1)^{2}}-\frac{2}{q-1} q^{\frac{n}{2}}=\frac{1}{(q-1)^{2}}\left(2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}(2-q)+\frac{1}{a q}\right)$,
which is always negative if $q \geq 3$. This shows that our upper bound is better.
Table 4 compares our lower and upper bounds with Wan bounds given in (5), and Moisio bounds given in (7) for different finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and degree $n=q-1$. In each column, the entry $[x, y]$ of the table, represents the corresponding [lower bound, upper bound].

Table 4: Bounds for $x_{c}$, for different finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, when $n=q-1$.

| $q$ | Wan $[14]$ | Moisio $[10]$ | Our Bounds | Min/Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $[0,9.78]$ | $[0,5.39]$ | $[0,4.407]$ | 1 |
| 5 | $[0,26.56]$ | $[0,16]$ | $[3.109,12.484]$ | $[7,8]$ |
| 7 | $[295.36,638.36]$ | $[401.78,531.94]$ | $[438.273,495.439]$ | $[466,471]$ |
| 8 | $[4729.24,5970.52]$ | $[5126.36,5573.38]$ | $[5261.212,5438.537]$ | 5344 |
| 9 | $[72273.52,74938.92]$ | $[73877.78,75590]$ | $[74426.342,75041.436]$ | 74691 |
| 11 | $[23,531,161,23,627,792]$ | $[23,563,189,23,595,764]$ | $[23,574,645,23,584,308]$ | $[23,578,887,23,580,368]$ |

Now let $c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$be a nonprimitive constant with $\rho^{\prime}=\operatorname{ord}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$. Using Theorem 6 and Theorem 11, we have the following bounds for $x_{c^{\prime}}$.
Theorem 14. Suppose $(q, n)$ is $a \operatorname{lps}$ pair, and $n=a(q-1)$, for some integer a. Let $c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$be a nonprimitive constant. If $p_{t}$ is the largest prime in the factorization of $q^{n}-1$, then we have

$$
\frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{t}}\right)\left(q^{n}-1\right)-q^{n-1}-2 a(q-1) q^{\frac{n}{2}}+1}{a(q-1)^{3}} \leq x_{c^{\prime}} \leq \frac{q^{n}-q^{n-1}+2 a(q-1) q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{a(q-1)^{3}}
$$

Proof. Let $n=a(q-1)$, for some integer $a$. For any nonprimitive constant $c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$we have $y_{c^{\prime}}+(q-1) x_{c^{\prime}}=N\left(n, c^{\prime}, q\right)$. By Equation (6) we have

$$
\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}}-\frac{2}{q-1} q^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq y_{c^{\prime}} \leq \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}}+\frac{2}{q-1} q^{\frac{n}{2}}
$$

If we add $(q-1) x_{c^{\prime}}$ to this inequality, then

$$
\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}}-\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1}+(q-1) x_{c^{\prime}} \leq N\left(n, c^{\prime}, q\right) \leq \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}}+\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1}+(q-1) x_{c^{\prime}}
$$

therefore, we obtain

$$
\frac{N\left(n, c^{\prime}, q\right)}{q-1}-\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{a(q-1)^{3}}-\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(q-1)^{2}} \leq x_{c^{\prime}} \leq \frac{N\left(n, c^{\prime}, q\right)}{q-1}-\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{a(q-1)^{3}}+\frac{2 q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(q-1)^{2}}
$$

Since $n=a(q-1)$ then by Theorem 11 we have $N\left(n, c^{\prime}, q\right) \leq \frac{q^{n}-1}{a(q-1)^{2}}$, which simplifies the upper bound for $x_{c^{\prime}}$ to

$$
\frac{q^{n}-q^{n-1}+2 a(q-1) q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{a(q-1)^{3}}
$$

An argument similar to Theorem 6 gives the lower bound for $x_{c^{\prime}}$.
For the same reason as above, our upper bound is better than Moisio's result as long as $q \geq 3$ and our lower bound is better if $p_{t}$ is of size $q^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ or larger.

In Table 4 we compare our bounds for $x_{c^{\prime}}$ with Wan and Moisio bounds, when $n=q-1$ and for different finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Table 5: Bounds for $x_{c^{\prime}}$, for different finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, with $n=q-1$.

| $q$ | Wan $[13]$ | Moisio $[9]$ | Our Bounds | Min/Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $[0,9.78]$ | $[0,5.39]$ | $[0,3.56]$ | 2 |
| 5 | $[0,26.56]$ | $[0,16]$ | $[3.94,10.94]$ | $[7,8]$ |
| 7 | $[295.36,638.36]$ | $[401.78,531.94]$ | $[435.139,485.917]$ | $[458,471]$ |
| 8 | $[4729.24,5970.52]$ | $[5126.36,5573.38]$ | $[5272.626,5408.986]$ | $[5337,5360]$ |
| 9 | $[72273.52,74938.92]$ | $[73877.78,75590]$ | $[74093.32,74938.922]$ | $[74700,74754]$ |
| 11 | $[23,531,161,23,627,792]$ | $[23,563,189,23,595,764]$ | $[23,574,323,23,582,697]$ | $[23,578,378,23,579,568]$ |

Remark. For any given finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and given degree $n$ such that $q-1 \nmid n$, we know that $A_{r, j}<q-1$. Indeed, let $\gamma$ and $\delta$ be two nonzero elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Thus, $\left(\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right)^{n} \neq 1$, and by Lemma 2 we have $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)=N_{\delta}\left(n, c\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)^{n}, q\right) \neq$ $N_{\delta}(n, c, q)$. However, we do not know whether we can still improve upper bounds in this case.
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