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#### Abstract

We provide an upper bound for the cardinality of the value set of a univariate polynomial over a finite field in terms of the index of the polynomial. Moreover, we study when a polynomial vector map in $n$ variables is a permutation polynomial map, again using the index tuple of the map. This also provides an upper bound for the value set of a polynomial map in $n$ variables.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the finite field of $q$ elements with characteristic $p$. The value set of a polynomial $g$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is the set $V_{g}$ of images when we view $g$ as a mapping from $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ to itself. Clearly $g$ is a permutation polynomial (PP) of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ if and only if the cardinality $\left|V_{g}\right|$ of the value set $V_{g}$ of $g$ is $q$. Asymptotic formulas such as $\left|V_{g}\right|=$ $\lambda(g) q+O\left(q^{1 / 2}\right)$, where $\lambda(g)$ is a constant depending only on certain Galois groups associated to $g$, can be found in Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer [7] and Cohen [16]. Later, Williams [39] proved that almost all polynomials $f$ are polynomials satisfying $\lambda(g)=1-\frac{1}{2!}+\frac{1}{3!}+\cdots+(-1)^{d-1} \frac{1}{d!}$, where $d$ is the degree of the polynomial $g$. There are also several results on explicit bounds for the cardinality of value sets if $g$ is not a PP over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$; see for example $[33,34]$. Perhaps the most well-known result is due to Wan [34] who proved that if $g$ is not a PP then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{g}\right| \leq q-\frac{q-1}{d} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using results from group theory, Guralnick and Wan [20] further proved that if $(d, q)=1$ then $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq(47 / 63) q+O_{d}(\sqrt{q})$. Some progress on lower bounds of $\left|V_{g}\right|$ can be found in $[17,36]$. The classification of minimal value set polynomials (polynomials satisfying $\left|V_{g}\right|=\lceil q / d\rceil$ ) can be found in $[11,19,27]$, and in [8] for all the minimal
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value set polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ whose set of values is a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. More recently, algorithms and complexity in computing $\left|V_{g}\right|$ have been studied in [13]. All of these results relate $\left|V_{g}\right|$ to the degree $d$ of $g$.

In this paper, we take a different approach to study value sets. We note that any non-constant polynomial $g \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ of degree $\leq q-1$ can be written uniquely as $g(x)=a\left(x^{r} f\left(x^{(q-1) / \ell}\right)\right)+b$ with index $\ell$ defined below. Namely, write

$$
g(x)=a\left(x^{n}+a_{n-i_{1}} x^{n-i_{1}}+\cdots+a_{n-i_{k}} x^{n-i_{k}}\right)+b,
$$

where $a, a_{n-i_{j}} \neq 0, j=1, \ldots, k$. The case that $k=0$ is trivial. Thus, we shall assume that $k \geq 1$. Write $n-i_{k}=r$, the vanishing order of $x$ at 0 (i.e., the lowest degree of $x$ in $g(x)-b$ is $r)$. Then $g(x)=a\left(x^{r} f\left(x^{(q-1) / \ell}\right)\right)+b$, where $f(x)=x^{e_{0}}+a_{n-i_{1}} x^{e_{1}}+\cdots+a_{n-i_{k-1}} x^{e_{k-1}}+a_{r}$,

$$
\ell=\frac{q-1}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(n-r, n-r-i_{1}, \ldots, n-r-i_{k-1}, q-1\right)}:=\frac{q-1}{s}
$$

and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k-1}, \ell\right)=1$. The integer $\ell=\frac{q-1}{s}$ is called the index of $h(x)$. The concept of the index of any polynomial was first introduced in [2] and is closely related to the concept of the least index of a cyclotomic mapping polynomial [14, 32]. Clearly, the study of the value set of $g(x)=a\left(x^{r} f\left(x^{(q-1) / \ell}\right)\right)+b$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is equivalent to studying the value set of $g(x)=x^{r} f\left(x^{(q-1) / \ell}\right)=x^{r} f\left(x^{s}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. If $(r,(q-1) / \ell)=$ 1 , we say $g$ is in reduced form. Otherwise, if $(r,(q-1) / \ell)=t$, then $g(x)=g^{\prime}\left(x^{t}\right)$ where $g^{\prime}(x)=x^{r / t} f\left(x^{s / t}\right)$ is in reduced form. In fact a permutation polynomial $g$ must be in reduced form. We note that permutation polynomials of the form $x^{r} f\left(x^{s}\right)$ were studied by Wan and Lidl [35] in 1991 and more recently by many others in $[1,2,4,5,6,15,37,43,44,45]$. For more background material on permutation polynomials we refer to Chap. 7 of [24]. For a detailed survey see [22, 23, 28, 31] and recent results see $[3,9,10,12,18,21,38,40,41,42]$. We refer to Section 8.1 of [29] for a detailed discussion of PPs and Section 8.3 of [29] for a discussion of value sets of polynomials over finite fields.

In Section 2, we study the value set problem in terms of the index of the polynomial $g$. In Theorem 2.1 we prove that if $g$ is not a PP then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{g}\right| \leq q-\frac{q-1}{\ell} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our result improves Wan's result when the index $\ell$ of a polynomial is strictly smaller than the degree $d$. We note that the index $\ell$ of a polynomial is always smaller than the degree $d$ as long as $\ell \leq \sqrt{q}-1$. For example, the index of any permutation binomial is always less than or equal to the degree. In fact, the index of polynomials is closely related to the concept of the least index of cyclotomic permutations. These permutations in terms of cyclotomic cosets were studied by Niederreiter and Winterhof in [32] and Wang [37, 38]. Also in Section 2 a generic formula for $\left|V_{g}\right|$ in terms of the number of certain distinct cyclotomic cosets is given in Proposition 2.3.

Let $g: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ be a polynomial map in $n$ variables defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $n$ is a positive integer. Denote by $\left|V_{g}\right|$ the number of distinct values taken by $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ as $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ runs over $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. It is clear that $\left|V_{f}\right| \leq q^{n}$. If $\left|V_{f}\right|=q^{n}$, then $f$ is a permutation polynomial vector, see [24, Chapter 7 ]. Motivated by an open problem raised by Lipton [25] in his computer science blog, we extended Wan's result on upper bounds of value sets for univariate polynomials to polynomial maps in $n$ variables in [30]. More specifically, we write $g$ as a polynomial vector:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(g_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \ldots, g_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $g_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ is a polynomial in $n$ variables over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. The polynomial vector $g$ induces a map from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. By reducing the polynomial vector $g$ modulo the ideal $\left(x_{1}^{q}-x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{q}-x_{n}\right)$, we may assume that the degree of $g_{i}$ in each variable is at most $q-1$ and we may further assume that $g$ is a non-constant map to avoid the trivial case. Let $d_{i}$ denote the total degree of $f_{i}$ in the $n$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and let $d=\max \left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right\}$. Then $d$ satisfies $1 \leq d \leq n(q-1)$. In particular, we proved

Theorem 1.1. [30] If $\left|V_{g}\right|<q^{n}$, then $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq q^{n}-\min \left\{\frac{n(q-1)}{d}, q\right\}$.
In Section 3, we extend the concept of index of an univariate polynomial to index tuples for multivariate polynomials $g_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and the polynomial vector map $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ respectively. We remark that any multivariate polynomial $g_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ behaves as a monomial in each subset of $\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$ that is partitioned by the cyclotomic cosets determined by the index tuple $\left(\ell_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, \ell_{n}^{(i)}\right)$. Similarly, each coordinate $g_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of any polynomial vector map $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ behaves as a monomial when we view the vector map as a cyclotomic mapping. It turns out the index tuple $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$ of $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ can be obtained from index tuples $\left(\ell_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, \ell_{n}^{(i)}\right)$ of $g_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ s. Namely, $\ell_{i}=\operatorname{lcm}\left(\ell_{i}^{(1)}, \ldots, \ell_{i}^{(n)}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we study the extreme cases for the value set problem for polynomial maps of $n$ variables. Namely, we describe when a polynomial map $g$ in $n$ variables is a permutation polynomial map. Essentially, each coordinate polynomial of a permutation vector map behaves as a monomial in terms of only one variable in each subset of $\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$ that is partitioned by the cyclotomic cosets determined by the index tuple $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$, along with other explicit conditions as described in Theorem 3.5. In other words, each permutation vector map in $n$ variables consists of $n$ univariate cyclotomic monomial permutations together with another permutation on coordinate variables. As a corollary, we obtain

Theorem 1.2. Let $g$ be a polynomial vector map from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ with the index tuple $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$ and $\ell=\max \left\{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right\}>1$. If $\left|V_{g}\right|<q^{n}$ then $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq q^{n}-\frac{q-1}{\ell}$.

This also provides another answer to Lipton's problem on the existence of a Picard jump for polynomial maps (roughly, if $g$ misses one value in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ then $g$ misses quite
a few). An example meeting this upper bound is also provided. We also note that our new bound improves the bound in Theorem 1.1 when $\frac{d}{n}>\ell$.

## 2. Value sets of univariate polynomials

As explained in the introductory section, the value set problem for a univariate polynomial is equivalent to that for the polynomial $g(x)=x^{r} f\left(x^{s}\right)$. Here we want to emphasize the parameter index $\ell$ instead of the degree $d$. We note from [37] that $g(x)=x^{r} f\left(x^{s}\right)$ is a PP if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$, and $f\left(\zeta^{i}\right) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq \ell-1$ where $\zeta$ is a primitive $\ell$-th root of unity, and $g(x)$ induces a permutation among all the $\ell$ cyclotomic cosets

$$
\left\{C_{0}, C_{1}, \cdots, C_{\ell-1}\right\}=\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} /\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right)^{\ell}
$$

In fact, we can always write $g(x)$ in terms of cyclotomic mappings $g(x)=c_{i} x^{r}$ if $x \in C_{i}$, where $c_{i}=f\left(\zeta^{i}\right)$; more details can be found in [14, 32, 37, 38]. In particular, a polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ is called an orthomorphism if both $g(x)$ and $g(x)-x$ are permutation polynomials. Given a finite, nonempty set of positive integers $R$, a polynomial $g(x)$ is called an $R$-orthomorphism if $g^{(r)}(x)$ is an orthomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ for all $r \in R$. (Here $g^{(r)}$ denotes the function $g$ composed with itself $r$ times.) We note that in [32] Niederreiter and Winterhof proved several existence results for cyclotomic orthomorphisms and cyclotomic $R$-orthomorphisms of finite fields. Here we only concentrate on the permutation behavior of $g(x)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $g(x)=a x^{r} f\left(x^{s}\right)+b(a \neq 0)$ be a polynomial in reduced form (i.e., $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$ ) over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with index $\ell$. Then $\left|V_{g}\right|>q-\frac{q-1}{\ell}$ if and only if $g$ is a $P P$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume $a=1$ and $b=0$. Hence $g(0)=0$. The conditions $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$ and $f\left(\zeta^{i}\right) \neq 0$ guarantee that all the images of elements in each $C_{i}$ are distinct nonzero elements. Because $\left|C_{i}\right|=s=\frac{q-1}{\ell}$, we conclude that $\left|V_{g}\right|>q-\frac{q-1}{\ell}$ if and only if there are more than $\ell-1$ nonzero distinct image sets of cyclotomic cosets. Since there are exactly $\ell$ nonzero distinct image sets of cyclotomic cosets, we deduce that $\left|V_{g}\right|>q-\frac{q-1}{\ell}$ if and only if $g$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

This result improves Wan's result (i.e., Equation (1)) for arbitrary polynomials with index $\ell \leq \sqrt{q}-1$. Indeed, if the index $\ell \leq \sqrt{q}-1$, then $s \geq \sqrt{q}+1$ and thus the degree $d \geq s+1>\ell$. Our result also works at least as good as Wan's result [34] if we want to verify an arbitrary binomial over a prime field is a permutation using the contrapositive lower bound. Indeed, let $g(x)=x^{d}+a x^{m}$ with $d>m>0$, be an arbitrary permutation binomial over a prime field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. It is proved by Masuda and Zieve in [26] that $\operatorname{gcd}(d-m, p-1) \geq \sqrt{p-3 / 4}-1 / 2(>\sqrt{p}-1)$. Here $\operatorname{gcd}(d-m, p-1)$ turns out to be equal to $s$. So the index $\ell=\frac{p-1}{s} \leq \sqrt{p-3 / 4}+1 / 2$ $(<\sqrt{p}+1)$ and thus $s>\sqrt{p}-1$. Then $d=m+e s \geq 1+s \geq \sqrt{p-3 / 4}+1 / 2 \geq \ell$.

Hence $p-\frac{p-1}{d} \geq p-\frac{p-1}{\ell}$. However, we note that $d$ is strictly greater than $\ell$ for any $m>1$ or $e>1$ as above.

Corollary 2.2. Let $g(x)=a x^{r} f\left(x^{\frac{q-1}{\ell}}\right)+b(a \neq 0)$ be any polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with index $\ell>1$ and $s=\frac{q-1}{\ell}$. Assume $\left|V_{g}\right|<q$. Then
(a) If $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$ then $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq q-\frac{q-1}{\ell}$.
(b) If $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=t>1$ then $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq \frac{q-1}{t}+1$.

Therefore we always have $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq q-\frac{q-1}{\ell}$.
Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume $a=1$ and $b=0$. Hence $g(0)=0$. The case of $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$ follows from Theorem 2.1. If $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=t>1$, then $g(x)=g_{1}\left(x^{t}\right)$ for some polynomial $g_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$. Thus, $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq\left|V_{x^{t}}\right|=\frac{q-1}{t}+1$. We note that $\frac{q-1}{t}+1=q-\frac{(t-1)(q-1)}{t}=q-\left(q-1-\frac{q-1}{t}\right)$. Because $t>1$ and $\ell>1$, we must have $q-1-\frac{q-1}{t} \geq q-1-\frac{q-1}{2}=\frac{q-1}{2} \geq \frac{q-1}{\ell}$. Thus we have $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq q-\frac{q-1}{\ell}$ in both cases.

In fact, we can obtain the following formula for the cardinality of the value set.
Proposition 2.3. Let $g(x)=a x^{r} f\left(x^{s}\right)+b(a \neq 0)$ be any polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with index $\ell=\frac{q-1}{s}$ and let $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=t$. Let $\xi$ be a fixed primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then

$$
\left|V_{g}\right|=c \frac{s}{t}+1, \text { or }\left|V_{g}\right|=c \frac{s}{t},
$$

where $c=\left|\left\{\left(\xi^{i r} f\left(\xi^{s i}\right)\right)^{\ell t} \mid i=0, \ldots, \ell-1\right\}\right|$.
Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume $a=1$ and $b=0$. Hence $g(0)=0$. Let $C_{0}$ be the subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ consisting of all the $\ell$-th powers of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ and $D_{0}$ be the subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ consisting of all the $\ell t$-th powers. Let $C_{i}=\xi^{i} C_{0}$ for $i=0, \ldots, \ell-1$ be cyclotomic cosets of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ induced by $C_{0}$. Note that $g(x)=c_{i} x^{r}$ when $x \in C_{i}$, where $c_{i}=f\left(\xi^{s i}\right)$ for $i=0, \ldots, \ell-1$. We also note that $x^{r}$ maps $C_{0}$ onto $D_{0}$ which contains $\frac{s}{t}$ distinct elements. So $x^{r}$ maps each coset $C_{i}=\xi^{i} C_{0}$ onto $\xi^{i r} D_{0}$. Therefore $g$ maps $C_{i}$ onto $\xi^{i r} f\left(\xi^{s i}\right) D_{0}$, which could be either the set $\{0\}$ or one of the nonzero cyclotomic cosets of index $\ell t$. We observe that $c$ is the number of distinct cyclotomic cosets of the form $\xi^{i r} f\left(\xi^{s i}\right) D_{0}$. Hence we have $\left|V_{g}\right|=c_{t}^{s}+1$ or $c \frac{s}{t}$, the latter happens when some of $c_{i}$ 's in $g(x)=c_{i} x^{r}$ equal $g(0)=0$.

From here it is straightforward to obtain a generic lower bound $\frac{s}{(r, s)}$ for any nonzero polynomial. However, this lower bound can be improved depending on how much information we know about the coefficients of $g$ in order to say more about $\xi^{i r} f\left(\xi^{s i}\right)$. We also refer to [17] for a matrix method which can be used to obtain a lower bound for the cardinality $\left|V_{g}\right|$ of the value set of a univariate polynomial $g$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

## 3. Permutation polynomial vectors

Let us first consider a multivariate polynomial $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. As in the univariate case, we can write $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{r_{n}} f\left(x_{1}^{s_{1}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{s_{n}}\right)+b$ where $g(0, \ldots, 0)=b$, and $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ are vanishing orders of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-b$ at 0 respectively (i.e., the lowest degree of $x_{i}$ in $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-b$ is $r_{i}$ ), and each $s_{i}$ is the greatest common divisor of all the exponents of $x_{i}$ from all monomial terms after factoring $x_{i}^{r_{i}}$, together with $q-1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ (i.e., $s_{i}$ is the greatest common divisor of all the exponents of $x_{i}$ in $f\left(x_{1}^{s_{1}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{s_{n}}\right)$ together with $\left.q-1\right)$. We note that $r_{i} \geq 0$ in this case instead of $r \geq 1$ for univariate polynomials. Let $\ell_{i}=\frac{q-1}{s_{i}}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$ is called the index tuple of the multivariate polynomial $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

Example 3.1. Let $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{5}-x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{5}+3 x_{2}^{5}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{7}$. So $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(0,5)$ is the pair of vanishing orders of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ at 0 respectively. We can write $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=$ $x_{2}^{5}\left(x_{1}^{4}+x_{1}^{2}+3\right)=x_{1}^{0} x_{2}^{5} f\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{6}\right)$ with $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}+3$ because $s_{1}=\operatorname{gcd}(4,2,0,6)=$ 2 and $s_{2}=\operatorname{gcd}(0,0,0,6)=6$. Namely, $\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right)=(3,1)$ is the index tuple of $g$.

Similarly, $h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=3 x_{1} x_{2}^{3}-2 x_{1}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{7}$ can be written as $h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}\left(3 x_{2}^{3}-\right.$ 2) $=x_{1}^{1} x_{2}^{0} f\left(x_{1}^{6}, x_{2}^{3}\right)$ where $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=3 x_{2}-2$. Namely, $r_{1}=1, r_{2}=0, s_{1}=6$, $s_{2}=3, \ell_{1}=1$, and $\ell_{2}=2$.

Finally, $t\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{3}-2 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}+5$ over $\mathbb{F}_{7}$ can be written as $t\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=$ $x_{1}^{2} x_{2}\left(3 x_{2}^{2}-2 x_{1}\right)+5=x_{1} x_{2} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}^{2}\right)+5$ where $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=3 x_{2}-2 x_{1}$. Namely, $r_{1}=1, r_{2}=1, s_{1}=1, s_{2}=2, \ell_{1}=6$, and $\ell_{2}=3$.

Definition 3.2. The multivariate polynomial

$$
g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{r_{n}} f\left(x_{1}^{(q-1) / \ell_{1}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{(q-1) / \ell_{n}}\right)+b
$$

is said to be in index form if $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ are vanishing orders of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ at 0 respectively, $g(0, \ldots, 0)=b$, and $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$ is the index tuple of $g$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $g(0, \ldots, 0)=0$ and $s_{i}=\frac{q-1}{\ell_{i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence

$$
g\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{r_{n}} f\left(x_{1}^{s_{1}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{s_{n}}\right)
$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $C_{i, 0}$ be the multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ containing all the $\ell_{i^{-}}$ th powers and let $C_{i, j_{i}}$ be the $j_{i}$-th coset of $C_{i, 0}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ where $1 \leq j_{i} \leq \ell_{i}-1$. Let $\xi$ be a fixed primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ and $\zeta_{i}=\xi^{s_{i}}$ be a primitive $\ell_{i}$-th root of unity where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $C_{i, j_{i}}=\xi^{j_{i}} C_{i, 0}$. Moreover, if $x \in C_{i, j_{i}}$ then $x^{s_{i}}=\zeta_{i}^{j_{i}}$. If $r_{i}>0$, then $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$. Otherwise, $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ may not be zero. Hence, for a given index tuple $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$, we can partition $\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$ as a union of $A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$ where $A_{i}$ is either the set $\{0\}$ or one of the cosets $C_{i, j_{i}}$ determined by the index $\ell_{i}$. We define constants $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ over these
sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ as follows:

$$
a_{i}= \begin{cases}\zeta_{i}^{j_{i}} & \text { if } A_{i}=C_{i, j_{i}}, \\ 0 & \text { if } A_{i}=\{0\} .\end{cases}
$$

Then $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{r_{n}} f\left(x_{1}^{s_{1}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{s_{n}}\right)$ can be written as a cyclotomic mapping as follows:

$$
g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=(0, \ldots, 0),  \tag{4}\\ f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) x_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{r_{n}} & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n} .\end{cases}
$$

Because $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are constants over $A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$, we remark that any multivariate polynomial $g$ behaves as a monomial $f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) x_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{r_{n}}$ in the subset $A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$, determined by the partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$ according to the index tuple of $g$. We note that the similar concept for univariate polynomials can be found in [32, 37, 38].

Example 3.3. Consider $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{2} x_{2}\left(4 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{2}-2 x_{2}^{4}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{7}$. We can write $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=$ $x_{1}^{2} x_{2} f\left(x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{2}\right)$ with the index tuple $(2,3)$, where $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=4 x_{1} x_{2}-2 x_{2}^{2}$. Let $\xi=3$ be the fixed primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_{7}$. So $\zeta_{1}=\xi^{6 / 2}=6$ and $\zeta_{2}=\xi^{6 / 3}=2$. We can partition $\mathbb{F}_{7}^{*}$ into either $C_{1,0}=\{1,2,4\}$ and $C_{1,1}=\{3,5,6\}$ corresponding to $\ell_{1}=2$, or $C_{2,0}=\{1,6\}$, $C_{2,1}=\{3,4\}$, and $C_{2,2}=\{2,5\}$ corresponding to $\ell_{2}=3$. Then $\mathbb{F}_{7} \times \mathbb{F}_{7}$ can be partitioned into the union of all these $A_{1} \times A_{2}$ 's, where $A_{1}$ denotes any one of the sets $\{0\}, C_{1,0}$, and $C_{1,1}$, and $A_{2}$ denotes any one of the sets $\{0\}, C_{2,0}, C_{2,1}$ and $C_{2,2}$. Hence $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ can be represented by

$$
g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(0,0),  \tag{5}\\ 0 & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in\{0\} \times C_{2,0}, \\ 0 & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in\{0\} \times C_{2,1}, \\ 0 & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in\{0\} \times C_{2,2}, \\ 0 & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{1,0} \times\{0\}, \\ 2 x_{1}^{2} x_{2} & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{1,0} \times C_{2,0}, \\ 0 & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{1,0} \times C_{2,1}, \\ 5 x_{1}^{2} x_{2} & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{1,0} \times C_{2,2}, \\ 0 & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{1,1} \times\{0\}, \\ x_{1}^{2} x_{2} & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{1,1} \times C_{2,0}, \\ 5 x_{1}^{2} x_{2} & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{1,1} \times C_{2,1}, \\ x_{1}^{2} x_{2} & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{1,1} \times C_{2,2},\end{cases}
$$

where the coefficients of $x_{1}^{2} x_{2}$ in all these branches are computed by using $f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=$ $4 a_{1} a_{2}-2 a_{2}^{2}$ where $a_{1}=0,1,-1$ and $a_{2}=0,1,2,4$ respectively.

By an abuse of notation, let us now consider $g$ as a polynomial vector map in $n$ variables from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(g_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \ldots, g_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $g_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ is a polynomial in $n$ variables over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Using the previous definition of the index tuple and cyclotomic mappings, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we can write
each $g_{i}$ in the index form. Namely,

$$
g_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}^{r_{1}^{(i)}} \cdots x_{n}^{r_{n}^{(i)}} f_{i}\left(x_{1}^{s_{1}^{(i)}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{s_{n}^{(i)}}\right)+b_{i},
$$

with the index tuple $\left(\ell_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, \ell_{n}^{(i)}\right)$ and $b_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Without loss of generality, we assume further that $b_{i}=0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
= & \left(x_{1}^{r_{1}^{(1)}} \cdots x_{n}^{r_{n}^{(1)}} f_{1}\left(x_{1}^{s_{1}^{(1)}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{s_{n}^{(1)}}\right), \ldots, x_{1}^{r_{1}^{(n)}} \cdots x_{n}^{r_{n}^{(n)}} f_{n}\left(x_{1}^{s_{1}^{(n)}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{s_{n}^{(n)}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we let

$$
s_{i}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(s_{i}^{(1)}, \ldots, s_{i}^{(n)}\right) \text { and } \ell_{i}=\frac{q-1}{s_{i}}
$$

Then we call $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$ the index tuple of the polynomial vector map $g$ in $n$ variables.
Let $\zeta_{i}=\xi^{s_{i}}$ be a primitive $\ell_{i}$-th root of unity and $C_{i, j_{i}}$ be the $j_{i}$-th coset of $C_{i, 0}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ where $1 \leq j_{i} \leq \ell_{i}$. We note again that $\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$ can be partitioned as a union of $A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$ where $A_{i}$ is either the set $\{0\}$ or one of the cosets $C_{i, j_{i}}$ determined by the index tuple $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$. Again, as defined before, we let

$$
a_{i}= \begin{cases}\zeta_{i}^{j_{i}} & \text { if } A_{i}=C_{i, j_{i}}, \\ 0 & \text { if } A_{i}=\{0\} .\end{cases}
$$

Hence, if $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
&=\left(x _ { 1 } ^ { r _ { 1 } ^ { ( 1 ) } } \cdots x _ { n } ^ { r _ { n } ^ { ( 1 ) } } f _ { 1 } \left(a_{1}^{s_{1}^{(1)}} / s_{1}\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left., \ldots, a_{n}^{s_{S_{1}}^{(1)} / s_{n}}\right), \ldots, x_{1}^{r_{1}^{(n)}} \cdots x_{n}^{r_{n}^{(n)}} f_{n}\left(a_{1}^{s_{1}^{(n)} / s_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n}^{s_{n}^{(n)} / s_{n}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $c_{i}=f_{i}\left(a_{1}^{s_{1}^{(i)} / s_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n}^{s_{n}^{(i)} / s_{n}}\right)$. Then $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ maps $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$ to

$$
\left(c_{1} A_{1}^{r_{1}^{(1)}} \cdots A_{n}^{r_{r}^{(1)}}, \ldots, c_{n} A_{1}^{r_{1}^{(n)}} \cdots A_{n}^{r_{n}^{(n)}}\right)
$$

where we use the convention $0^{0}=1$ and $A^{r}=\left\{x^{r} \mid x \in A\right\}$.
Example 3.4. Let $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{2}\left(x_{1}^{4}+4 x_{1}^{2}+4\right), x_{1}\left(3 x_{2}^{3}+1\right)\right)$ be a map from $\mathbb{F}_{7} \times \mathbb{F}_{7}$ to itself. Using the previous definitions, we obtain $r_{1}^{(1)}=0, r_{2}^{(1)}=1, r_{1}^{(2)}=1, r_{2}^{(2)}=$ 0 , $s_{1}^{(1)}=2, s_{2}^{(1)}=6, s_{1}^{(2)}=6$, and $s_{2}^{(2)}=3$. Moreover, $f_{1}\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{6}\right)=x_{1}^{4}+4 x_{1}^{2}+4$, $f_{2}\left(x_{1}^{6}, x_{2}^{3}\right)=3 x_{2}^{3}+1$. Hence $s_{1}=\operatorname{gcd}(2,6)=2, s_{2}=\operatorname{gcd}(6,3)=3, \ell_{1}=3$ and $\ell_{2}=2$. Therefore we use cyclotomic cosets of orders 3 and 2 respectively in the partition of $\mathbb{F}_{7}^{*}$. Namely, $C_{1,0}=\{1,6\}, C_{1,1}=\{3,4\}$ and $C_{1,2}=\{2,5\}$ are the cyclotomic cosets of order $3, C_{2,0}=\{1,2,4\}$, and $C_{2,1}=\{3,5,6\}$ are cyclotomic cosets of order 2 . Then $\mathbb{F}_{7} \times \mathbb{F}_{7}$ is partitioned into $\{0\} \times\{0\},\{0\} \times C_{2,0},\{0\} \times C_{2,1}, C_{1,0} \times\{0\}, C_{1,1} \times\{0\}, C_{1,2} \times\{0\}, C_{1,0} \times C_{2,0}$, $C_{1,0} \times C_{2,1}, C_{1,1} \times C_{2,0}, C_{1,1} \times C_{2,1}, C_{1,2} \times C_{2,0}, C_{1,2} \times C_{2,1}$. Note that $a_{1} \in\{0,1,2,4\}$ and $a_{2} \in\{0,1,6\}$. We can check that $f_{1}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}^{2}\right) \neq 0$ and $f_{2}\left(a_{1}^{3}, a_{2}\right) \neq 0$. For example, $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{2}\left(x_{1}^{4}+4 x_{1}^{2}+4\right), x_{1}\left(3 x_{2}^{3}+1\right)\right)$ maps $C_{1,1} \times C_{2,1}$ into $C_{2,1} \times C_{1,0}$ because
$g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ behaves as the map $\left(2 x_{2}, 5 x_{1}\right)$ over $C_{1,1} \times C_{2,1}$. Indeed, $f_{1}(2,6)=2^{2}+8+4=2$ and $f_{2}(2,6)=18+1=5$.
Theorem 3.5. Let $g$ be a polynomial vector map from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ defined by

$$
g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(g_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+b_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+b_{n}\right),
$$

where $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $g$ has index tuple $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$ such that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$
g_{i}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}^{r_{1}^{(i)}} \cdots x_{n}^{r_{n}^{(i)}} f_{i}\left(x_{1}^{s_{1}^{(i)}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{s_{n}^{(i)}}\right)
$$

is a polynomial in $n$ variables over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ in the index form with index tuple $\left(\ell_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, \ell_{n}^{(i)}\right)$ satisfying $g_{i}(0, \ldots, 0)=0$ and $s_{j}^{(i)}=\frac{q-1}{\ell_{j}^{(i)}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $s_{i}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(s_{i}^{(1)}, \ldots, s_{i}^{(n)}\right)$ and $\ell_{i}=\frac{q-1}{s_{i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $g$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ if and only if the following holds:
(1) For all $1 \leq i \leq n$, we must have $f_{i}\left(a_{1}^{s_{1}^{(i)} / s_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n}^{s_{n}^{(i)} / s_{n}}\right) \neq 0$ as long as not all $a_{i}$ 's are zero, where $a_{i}=0$ or $a_{i}=\xi_{i}^{s_{i} j_{i}}$ with $0 \leq j_{i} \leq \ell_{i}-1$ and $\xi$ is a fixed primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
(2) The matrix $R:=\left[\begin{array}{llll}r_{1}^{(1)} & r_{2}^{(1)} & \cdots & r_{n}^{(1)} \\ r_{1}^{(2)} & r_{2}^{(2)} & \cdots & r_{n}^{(2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ r_{1}^{(n)} & r_{2}^{(n)} & \cdots & r_{n}^{(n)}\end{array}\right]$ contains exactly one nonzero entry for each row and each column; Moreover, for each nonzero $r_{i}^{(k)}$ we must have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}^{(k)}, s_{i}^{(k)}\right)=$ 1.
(3) $g$ induces a bijection between the set of all the parts $A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$ of the partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$ corresponding to the index tuple $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$, and the set of all the parts $A_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \times \cdots \times A_{i_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$ corresponding to the index tuple $\left(\ell_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \ell_{i_{n}}\right)$, where $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)^{T}=P(1, \ldots, n)^{T}$ and the permutation matrix $P$ is associated with $R$ defined by $p_{i j}=1$ if $r_{j}^{(i)} \neq 0$.
Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $b_{1}=b_{2}=\cdots=b_{n}=0$. Assume that $g$ is a permutation polynomial vector from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. It is easy to see that condition (1) holds. Otherwise, at least two elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ are mapped into the tuple consisting of all 0's.

We now prove condition (2). First, each row of $R$ must contain at least one nonzero entry. Otherwise, suppose the $i$-th row is the zero row, all the tuples $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ satisfying that the $i$-th entry $x_{i} \in A_{i}$ must be mapped into tuples with the same $i$-th entry, contradicting that $g$ is a permutation. Moreover, each row contains exactly one nonzero entry. Indeed, without loss of generality, suppose the first row contains two nonzero entries $r_{1}^{(1)}$ and $r_{2}^{(1)}$. Then tuples of the form $\{0\} \times A_{2} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$ and $A_{1} \times\{0\} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$ are both mapped into $\{0\} \times A_{2} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$, which is a contradiction.

Similarly, each column of $R$ should also contain exactly one nonzero entry. Indeed, if one column is a zero column, for example the first column, then $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=$ $g\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for any $x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime} \in C_{1, j_{1}}$, contradicting that $g$ is a permutation map of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. If one column contains at least two nonzero entries, for example $r_{1}^{(1)}, r_{1}^{(2)}$, then tuples of
the form $\{0\} \times A_{2} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$ are mapped to tuples of the form $\{0\} \times\{0\} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$, contradicting that $g$ is a permutation map. Moreover, if $r_{i}^{(k)}>0$ then we consider two distinct tuples which differ only in the coordinate $x_{i}$ but both values in coordinate $x_{i}$ are in the same coset $C_{i, j_{i}}$. These tuples must be mapped to different images, this forces that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}^{(k)}, s_{i}^{(k)}\right)=1$.

Using condition (2), we write

$$
g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{i_{1}}^{r_{i_{1}}^{(1)}} f_{1}\left(x_{1}^{s_{1}^{(1)}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{s_{n}^{(1)}}\right), \ldots, x_{i_{n}}^{r_{i_{n}}^{(n)}} f_{n}\left(x_{1}^{s_{1}^{(n)}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{s_{n}^{(n)}}\right)\right)
$$

so that $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}$ is a permutation of $1, \ldots, n$ induced by the permutation matrix $P$. Let $A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$ be a part in the partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$ determined by the index tuple $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$. Recall that $c_{i}=f_{i}\left(a_{1}^{s_{1}^{(i)} / s_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n}^{s_{n}^{(i)} / s_{n}}\right)$. Then $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ maps $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$ to $\left(c_{1} A_{i_{1}}^{r_{i_{1}}^{(1)}}, \ldots, c_{n} A_{i_{n}}^{r_{i n}^{(n)}}\right)$. Because $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}^{(i)}, s_{i}^{(i)}\right)=1$ for each $i$, the image becomes $\left(A_{i_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{i_{n}}^{\prime}\right)$, which gives one part $A_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \times \cdots \times A_{i_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ corresponding to the index tuple $\left(\ell_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \ell_{i_{n}}\right)$. Hence condition (3) holds. The converse also holds using the same arguments as above.

We now consider the following examples to illustrate Theorem 3.5.
Example 3.6. Let $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{2}\left(x_{1}^{4}+4 x_{1}^{2}+4\right), x_{1}\left(3 x_{2}^{3}+1\right)\right)$ be a map from $\mathbb{F}_{7} \times \mathbb{F}_{7}$ to itself as shown in Example 3.4. Obviously $f_{1}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}^{2}\right)=a_{1}^{2}+4 a_{1}+4 \neq 0$ and $f_{2}\left(a_{1}^{3}, a_{2}\right)=$ $3 a_{2}+1 \neq 0$ where $a_{1} \in\{0,1,2,4\}$ and $a_{2} \in\{0,1,6\}$. Here, $P=R=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ is a permutation matrix. Moreover, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{2}^{(1)}, s_{2}^{(1)}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{1}^{(2)}, s_{1}^{(2)}\right)=1$. Furthermore, $g$ maps a part $A_{1} \times A_{2}$ of the partition $\mathbb{F}_{7} \times \mathbb{F}_{7}$ corresponding to the index tuple $(3,2)$ into a part $A_{2}^{\prime} \times A_{1}^{\prime}$ of the same size corresponding to the index tuple (2,3). Indeed, $g$ maps

By Theorem 3.5, $g$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{7}^{2}$.
Example 3.7. Let $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\left(2+x_{2}^{3}\left(x_{1}^{4}-2 x_{2}^{3}\right)\right)\right)$ be a map from $\mathbb{F}_{7} \times \mathbb{F}_{7}$ to itself. So $s_{1}^{(1)}=6, s_{2}^{(1)}=6, s_{1}^{(2)}=2$, and $s_{2}^{(2)}=3$. Hence $s_{1}=\operatorname{gcd}(6,2)=2$ and $s_{2}=\operatorname{gcd}(6,3)=3$.

Thus $\ell_{1}=3$ and $\ell_{2}=2$. So $C_{1,0}=\{1,6\}, C_{1,1}=\{3,4\}$, and $C_{1,2}=\{2,5\}$ are the cyclotomic cosets of order $3, C_{2,0}=\{1,2,4\}$, and $C_{2,1}=\{3,5,6\}$ are cyclotomic cosets of order 2. Then $\mathbb{F}_{7} \times \mathbb{F}_{7}$ is partitioned into $\{0\} \times\{0\},\{0\} \times C_{2,0},\{0\} \times C_{2,1}, C_{1,0} \times\{0\}$, $C_{1,1} \times\{0\}, C_{1,2} \times\{0\}, C_{1,0} \times C_{2,0}, C_{1,0} \times C_{2,1}, C_{1,1} \times C_{2,0}, C_{1,1} \times C_{2,1}, C_{1,2} \times C_{2,0}, C_{1,2} \times C_{2,1}$. Moreover, $f_{1}\left(x_{1}^{6}, x_{2}^{6}\right)=1$ and $f_{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{3}\right)=2+x_{2}^{3}\left(x_{1}^{4}-2 x_{2}^{3}\right)$. Note that $a_{1} \in\{0,1,2,4\}$ and $a_{2} \in\{0,1,2\}$. We can easily check that $f_{1}\left(a_{1}^{3}, a_{2}^{2}\right) \neq 0$ and $f_{2}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \neq 0$ as long as one of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ is nonzero. Furthermore, $R=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$. In fact, $g$ maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{0\} \times\{0\} \longmapsto \quad\{0\} \times\{0\} \\
& \{0\} \times C_{2,0} \quad \stackrel{\left(x_{2}, 0\right)}{\Longleftrightarrow} \quad C_{2,0} \times\{0\} \\
& \{0\} \times C_{2,1} \stackrel{\left(\underset{x_{2}, 0}{\longrightarrow}\right.}{\stackrel{\left(z_{2},\right.}{ }} C_{2,1} \times\{0\} \\
& C_{1,0} \times\{0\} \underset{\left(0,2 x_{1}\right)}{(0,2} \quad\{0\} \times C_{1,2} \\
& C_{1,1} \times\{0\} \underset{\left(0,2 x_{1}\right)}{(0,2} \quad\{0\} \times C_{1,0} \\
& C_{1,2} \times\{0\} \stackrel{\left(0,2 x_{1}\right)}{\rightleftarrows} \quad\{0\} \times C_{1,1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## By Theorem 3.5, $g$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{7}^{2}$.

We remark that from the proof of Theorem 3.5, each coordinate polynomial of the permutation vector map is a multivariate cyclotomic mapping, which in turn behaves as a monomial (in one variable) on every individual coset. In other words, each permutation vector map in $n$ variables consists of $n$ univariate cyclotomic permutations together with another permutation on coordinate variables. This fact may help us to construct permutation maps in $n$ variables from these simpler coordinate polynomials.

Also from the proof of Theorem 3.5, it is easy to see that if one element in a part of the partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ belongs to the value set then the whole part of the partition belongs to the value set. Hence we also obtain

Corollary 3.8. Let $g$ be a polynomial vector map from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ with the index tuple $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$ and $\ell=\max \left\{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right\}>1$. If $\left|V_{g}\right|<q^{n}$ then $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq q^{n}-\frac{q-1}{\ell}$.

Proof. Under the assumptions (1) and (2) in Theorem 3.5, the cardinality of the value set of $g$ satisfies $\left|V_{g}\right|>q^{n}-\frac{q-1}{\ell}$ if and only if $g$ induces a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$.

Also from the proof of Theorem 3.5, if assumption (1) fails, then at least two cosets corresponding to one coordinate, say $i$, are collapsed into the same image set, therefore $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq q^{n}-\frac{q-1}{\ell_{i}} \leq q^{n}-\frac{q-1}{\ell}$. When assumption (2) fails, the matrix $R$ contains a zero row or a zero column, or at least two entries in one row or column. Hence the same discussion shows that at least two cosets are collapsed into one image set. This implies again that $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq q^{n}-\frac{q-1}{\ell_{j}}$ for some $j$ and thus $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq q^{n}-\frac{q-1}{\ell}$. Finally, if the matrix $R$
contains exactly one entry in each row and column, but $\left(r_{i}^{(k)}, s_{i}^{(k)}\right)=t_{i}>1$ for some $i$, we miss at least $\frac{\left(t_{i}-1\right)(q-1)}{t_{i}}=(q-1)-\frac{q-1}{t_{i}} \geq \frac{q-1}{2} \geq \frac{q-1}{\ell}$ values in the value set. Hence $\left|V_{g}\right| \leq q^{n}-\frac{q-1}{\ell}$.

As the next simple example shows, the upper bound for non permutations can be achieved.

Example 3.9. Let $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\left(-x_{2}^{3}\left(x_{1}^{3}+2\right)^{2}+4\right)^{2}\right)$ be a map from $\mathbb{F}_{7} \times \mathbb{F}_{7}$ to itself. So $s_{1}^{(1)}=6, s_{2}^{(1)}=6, s_{1}^{(2)}=3$, and $s_{2}^{(2)}=3$. Hence $s_{1}=\operatorname{gcd}(6,3)=3$ and $s_{2}=\operatorname{gcd}(6,3)=3$. Thus $\ell_{1}=2$ and $\ell_{2}=2$. Here we use cyclotomic cosets of order 2 : $C_{1,0}=C_{2,0}=\{1,2,4\}$, and $C_{1,1}=C_{2,1}=\{3,5,6\}$ to partition $\mathbb{F}_{7} \times \mathbb{F}_{7}$ into $\{0\} \times\{0\}$, $\{0\} \times C_{2,0},\{0\} \times C_{2,1}, C_{1,0} \times\{0\}, C_{1,1} \times\{0\}, C_{1,0} \times C_{2,0}, C_{1,0} \times C_{2,1}, C_{1,1} \times C_{2,0}$, $C_{1,1} \times C_{2,1}$. Moreover, $f_{1}\left(x_{1}^{6}, x_{2}^{6}\right)=1$ and $f_{2}\left(x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}\right)=\left(-x_{2}^{3}\left(x_{1}^{3}+2\right)^{2}+4\right)^{2}$. Note that $f_{2}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\left(-a_{2}\left(a_{1}+2\right)^{2}+4\right)^{2} \in\{0,2,4\}$ where $a_{1} \in\{0,1,6\}$ and $a_{2} \in\{0,1,6\}$. In fact, $g$ maps

| $\{0\} \times\{0\}$ | $\longmapsto$ | $\{0\} \times\{0\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\{0\} \times C_{2,0}$ | $\stackrel{(0,0)}{\longrightarrow}$ | $\{0\} \times\{0\}$ |
| $\{0\} \times C_{2,1}$ | $\stackrel{\left(0, x_{2}\right)}{ }$ | $\{0\} \times C_{2,1}$ |
| $C_{1,0} \times\{0\}$ | $\xrightarrow[\left(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{x_{1}, 2 x_{2}}\right)]{\left({ }_{2}\right.}$ | $C_{1,0} \times\{0\}$ |
| $C_{1,1} \times\{0\}$ | $\left({ }_{\left(1,2 x_{2}\right.}\right)$ | $C_{1,1} \times\{0\}$ |
| $C_{1,0} \times C_{2,0}$ | $\xrightarrow[\left(x_{1}, 4 x_{2}\right)]{\longrightarrow}$ | $C_{1,0} \times C_{2,0}$ |
| $C_{1,0} \times C_{2,1}$ | $\xrightarrow{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}$ | $C_{1,0} \times C_{2,1}$ |
| $C_{1,1} \times C_{2,0}$ | $\stackrel{\left(x_{1}, 2 x_{2}\right)}{ }$ | $C_{1,1} \times C_{2,0}$ |
| $C_{1,1} \times C_{2,1}$ | $\left({ }_{1}{ }_{\left.1,4 x_{2}\right)}\right.$ | $C_{1,1} \times C_{2,1}$ |

Here $g$ maps the $\{0\} \times C_{2,1}$ into $\{0\} \times\{0\}$, and all other parts of the partition corresponding to the index tuple $(2,2)$ to distinct parts of the partition corresponding to the index tuple $(2,2)$. Therefore $\left|V_{g}\right|=46=q^{2}-\frac{q-1}{2}$.
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