

A SUM OF FRACTIONAL PARTS

K. S. WILLIAMS, University of Manchester, England

Let L denote the set of points $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with integral coordinates in Euclidean n -space. For any prime $p \geq 3$, let $C = C(p)$ be the set of points of L in the cube $0 \leq x_i < p$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Suppose that $f(x)$ is any polynomial of degree d in x_1, \dots, x_n with integral coefficients, which does not vanish identically (mod p). We let $\{a\}$ denote the fractional part of the real number a and consider the problem of estimating

$$\sum_{x \in C} \{f(x)/p\}$$

for large primes p . When $d = 1$ this sum is just $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)p^{n-1} = \frac{1}{2}p^n + O(p^{n-1})$. For $d > 1$ we prove, using a result of Uchiyama [1], the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.

$$(1) \quad \sum_{x \in C} \{f(x)/p\} = \frac{1}{2}p^n + O(p^{n-1/2} \log p),$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$, where the constant implied in the O -symbol depends only upon n and d .

Proof.

$$(2) \quad \sum_{x \in C} \{f(x)/p\} = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\substack{x \in C \\ f(x) \equiv r \pmod{p}}} \{r/p\} = 1/p \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} r \sum_{\substack{x \in C \\ f(x) \equiv r \pmod{p}}} 1.$$

Now let $e(t)$ denote $\exp(2\pi it/p)$ for any real t . Then as

$$(1/p) \sum_{v=0}^{p-1} e(av) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ 0 & \text{if } a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in C} \{f(x)/p\} &= (1/p^2) \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} r \sum_{x \in C} \sum_{v=0}^{p-1} e(v(f(x) - r)) \\ &= (1/p^2) \sum_{v=0}^{p-1} \sum_{x \in C} e(vf(x)) \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} re(-rv). \end{aligned}$$

The term corresponding to $v = 0$ is just

$$(1/p^2) \sum_{x \in C} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} r = \frac{1}{2}(p-1)p^{n-1}.$$

For $v \neq 0$ we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} re(-rv) = -p/(1 - e(-v)),$$

whence

$$\left| \sum_{x \in C} \{f(x)/p\} - \frac{1}{2}p^{n-1}(p-1) \right| = \left| (1/p) \sum_{v=1}^{p-1} 1/(1-e(-v)) \sum_{x \in C} e(vf(x)) \right| \leq (1/p) \sum_{v=1}^{p-1} 1/|1-e(-v)| \left| \sum_{x \in C} e(vf(x)) \right|.$$

By a recent result of Uchiyama [1]

$$\left| \sum_{x \in C} e(vf(x)) \right| \leq kp^{n-1/2}$$

where the constant k depends only upon n and d . Hence we have

$$\left| \sum_{x \in C} \left\{ \frac{f(x)}{p} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} p^{n-1}(p-1) \right| \leq \frac{k}{2} p^{n-3/2} \sum_{v=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{\sin(\pi v/p)},$$

from which the theorem follows in view of the well-known result

$$\sum_{v=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{\sin(\pi v/p)} \leq p \sum_{v=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1}{v} \leq p \log p.$$

We now show that the error term in (1) can be improved for polynomials $f(x)$ of certain special types, namely diagonal and quadratic polynomials.

THEOREM 2. *If $f(x) = a_1x_1^{k_1} + \dots + a_nx_n^{k_n} + a_0$, where each $k_i \geq 1$ and $p \nmid a_1 \dots a_n$, then, provided $n \geq 3$,*

$$\sum_{x \in C} \{f(x)/p\} = (p^n/2) + O(p^{n-1}),$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$, where the constant implied in the O -symbol depends only upon k_1, \dots, k_n .

THEOREM 3. *Let $f(x_1, x_2)$ be a quadratic polynomial which is not a function of only one integral variable and which has a discriminant not divisible by p . Suppose further that the discriminant of $f_1(x_1, x_2, 0)$ is also not divisible by p , where $f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv x_3^2 f(x_1/x_3, x_2/x_3)$. Then*

$$\sum_{x_1=0}^{p-1} \sum_{x_2=0}^{p-1} \{f(x_1, x_2)/p\} = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + O(p),$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 2. By (2)

$$(3) \quad \sum_{x \in C} \{f(x)/p\} = (1/p) \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} rN_r,$$

where N_r denotes the number of $x \in C$ with $f(x) \equiv r \pmod{p}$. Weil has shown [2] that

$$N_r = p^{n-1} + O(p^{n/2}),$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$, where the constant in the O -symbol depends only on k_1, \dots, k_n . The theorem follows at once.

Proof of Theorem 3. As is well known, under the conditions stated in the theorem, we may reduce $f(x_1, x_2)$ by a linear nonsingular transformation (mod p) to the canonical form

$$ay_1^2 + by_2^2 + c \quad (p \nmid abc).$$

This transformation will not affect the sum under consideration and so we need only consider

$$\sum_{y_1=0}^{p-1} \sum_{y_2=0}^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{ay_1^2 + by_2^2 + c}{p} \right\} \quad (p \nmid abc).$$

The theorem then follows from (3), as in this case

$$N_r = \begin{cases} p - (-ab/p) & \text{if } r \not\equiv c \\ p + (p-1)(-ab/p) & \text{if } r \equiv c. \end{cases}$$

CONJECTURE: (1) may be replaced by

$$(1') \quad \sum_{x \in C} \{f(x)/p\} = \frac{1}{2}p^n + O(p^{n-1/2}), \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty.$$

References

1. S. Uchiyama, On a multiple exponential sum, Proc. Japan Acad., 32 (1956) 748-749.
2. A. Weil, Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55 (1949) 497-508.

TAYLOR'S FORMULA AND THE EXISTENCE OF n TH DERIVATIVES

P. R. BEESACK, Carleton University, Ottawa

A common form of Taylor's theorem states sufficient conditions for a function f to have an expansion of the form

$$(1) \quad f(a + h) = a_0 + a_1h + a_2h^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}h^{n-1} + R_n(h),$$

valid in some one- or two-sided neighborhood of $h=0$, the remainder term R_n involving a factor of the form $f^{(n)}(X)$, where X is strictly between a and $a+h$. The existence (finite or infinite) of $f^{(n)}$ *throughout* at least some deleted neighborhood (or one-sided neighborhood) of a obviously must be postulated in order to prove any theorem of this kind. On the other hand, $f^{(n)}(a)$ need not exist for the validity of such an expansion.