

FORMS REPRESENTABLE BY AN INTEGRAL POSITIVE-DEFINITE BINARY QUADRATIC FORM

KENNETH S. WILLIAMS

1. Introduction.

Let $g(X, Y) = lX^2 + mXY + nY^2$ be an integral, positive-definite, binary quadratic form of discriminant $-D$, so that $l > 0$, $n > 0$, and $D = 4ln - m^2 > 0$. Thus $D \equiv 0$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and we let

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \frac{1}{4}D & , & \text{ if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4} , \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(D+1) & , & \text{ if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4} . \end{aligned}$$

We say that a binary quadratic form $f(X, Y) = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$ is representable by $g(X, Y)$ if there exist integers a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 with $a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 \neq 0$ such that

$$f(X, Y) = g(a_1X + b_1Y, a_2X + b_2Y) .$$

We are interested in giving necessary and sufficient conditions for a binary quadratic form $f(X, Y)$ to be representable by $g(X, Y)$. Clearly any such $f(X, Y)$ must be integral and positive-definite, with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{discrim}(f(X, Y)) &= \text{discrim}(g(a_1X + b_1Y, a_2X + b_2Y)) \\ &= (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1)^2 \text{discrim}(g(X, Y)) = -Dk^2 , \end{aligned}$$

where k is a non-zero integer. Throughout this paper it will be assumed that $f(X, Y)$ satisfies these conditions. If $f(X, Y)$ is representable by $g(X, Y)$, then $f(X, Y)$ is representable by any binary quadratic form (properly or improperly) equivalent to $g(X, Y)$. Conversely, if $f(X, Y)$ is representable by some binary quadratic form equivalent to $g(X, Y)$, then $f(X, Y)$ is representable by $g(X, Y)$. Now the class of forms equivalent to $g(X, Y)$ contains one and only one reduced form. Thus, without any loss of generality, we can suppose that $g(X, Y)$ is reduced, that is, l, m, n , satisfy $-l < m \leq l$, $n \geq l$, with $m \geq 0$ if $l = n$. It is known that there is only a finite number of integral, positive-definite, reduced forms with discriminant $-D$. We make the assumption throughout this paper that this number is exactly one. From the classical work of Gauss and a recent result of Stark [5] we know that this occurs precisely for

Received February 13, 1971.

$$(1.1) \quad D = 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163 .$$

In this case the single reduced form is the principal form so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(X, Y) \equiv g_D(X, Y) &= X^2 + D_1 Y^2, & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ &= X^2 + XY + D_1 Y^2, & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

When $D=4$ representability of $f(X, Y) = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$ by $g_4(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$ has been considered by Mordell [2]. (An omission in his proof has been corrected by Niven [3].) If we write $r_D(h)$ for the number of representations of the positive integer h by any integral, positive-definite, binary quadratic form of discriminant $-D$ (equivalently the number of ordered pairs of integers (u, v) such that $h = g_D(u, v)$), we can state Mordell's theorem as follows:

THEOREM (Mordell). *Let $f(X, Y) = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$ be an integral, positive-definite, binary quadratic form of discriminant $-4k^2$, where k is a non-zero integer, so that b is an even integer. Then $f(X, Y)$ is representable by any integral, positive-definite, binary quadratic form of discriminant $-D$, if and only if $r_4(d) > 0$, where, here and throughout this paper, $d = \text{G.C.D.}(a, b, c)$.*

In Section 2 we determine the value of $r_D(h)$ for all D given by (1.1). In Section 3 we prove two lemmas which are used in Section 4, where we prove the following generalization of Mordell's theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f(X, Y) = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$ be an integral, positive-definite, binary quadratic form of discriminant $-Dk^2$, where k is a non-zero integer and D is given by (1.1). Then $f(X, Y)$ is representable by any integral, positive-definite, binary quadratic form of discriminant $-D$ if and only if $r_D(d) > 0$.*

As regards the number of representations of $f(X, Y)$ by a form of discriminant $-D$, Pall [4] has proved the following theorem for the case $D=4$.

THEOREM (Pall). *Let $f(X, Y) = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$ be an integral, positive-definite, binary quadratic form of discriminant $-4k^2$, where k is a non-zero integer. Then the number of representations of $f(X, Y)$ by any integral, positive-definite, binary quadratic form of discriminant -4 is $2r_4(d)$.*

In Section 5 we generalize this result by proving the following:

THEOREM 2. *Let $f(X, Y) = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$ be an integral, positive-definite, binary quadratic form of discriminant $-Dk^2$, where k is a non-*

zero integer and D is given by (1.1). Then the number of representations of $f(X, Y)$ by any integral, positive-definite, binary quadratic form of discriminant $-D$ is $2r_D(d)$.

We remark that our proof of theorem 2 is much simpler than the one given by Pall [4] for the case $D=4$.

We conclude this introduction by noting that we write, throughout this paper, $p(D)$ for the unique prime dividing D , where D is given by (1.1), so that

$$p(D) = 2, \quad \text{if } D=4, 8, \\ = D, \quad \text{if } D=3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163.$$

2. The value of $r_D(h)$.

We calculate the value of $r_D(h)$, for h a positive integer and $D=3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$, from an old result of Dirichlet (see for example [1]). We shall use the Kronecker symbol (\cdot/\cdot) .

THEOREM (Dirichlet). For $D=3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$ we let

$$w_D = 2, \quad \text{if } D=7, 8, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163, \\ = 4, \quad \text{if } D=4, \\ = 6, \quad \text{if } D=3,$$

and set

$$(2.1) \quad h = p(D)^{\alpha} 2^{\alpha_0} p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r} q_1^{\beta_1} \dots q_s^{\beta_s},$$

where the p_i are $r (\geq 0)$ distinct odd primes $\neq p(D)$ such that $(-D/p_i) = +1$; the q_j are $s (\geq 0)$ distinct odd primes $\neq p(D)$ such that $(-D/q_j) = -1$; $\alpha_i > 0, i=1, \dots, r$; $\beta_j > 0, j=1, \dots, s$; $\alpha \geq 0$; $\alpha_0 \geq 0$ with $\alpha_0=0$ if $D=4$ or 8 . Then

$$(2.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_D(h) = w_D \prod_{i=0}^r (\alpha_i + 1) \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{2} (1 + (-1)^{\beta_j}), \\ \text{if } D=4, 7 \text{ or } 8, \text{ and} \\ r_D(h) = w_D \frac{1}{2} (1 + (-1)^{\alpha_0}) \prod_{i=1}^r (\alpha_i + 1) \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{2} (1 + (-1)^{\beta_j}), \\ \text{if } D=3, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163. \end{array} \right.$$

PROOF. We begin by showing that for any positive integer k we have

$$(2.3) \quad r_D(p(D)k) = r_D(k).$$

We set

$$S_D(k) = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \text{ integers with } g_D(x, y) = k\}.$$

If $D=4$ (so that $p(D)=2$) the mapping $\lambda : S_4(2k) \rightarrow S_4(k)$ defined by

$$\lambda(x, y) = (\frac{1}{2}(x+y), \frac{1}{2}(x-y))$$

is a bijection, so that $|S_4(2k)| = |S_4(k)|$, that is, $r_4(2k) = r_4(k)$. If $D = 8$ (so that $p(D) = 2$), the mapping $\lambda : S_8(2k) \rightarrow S_8(k)$ defined by

$$\lambda((x, y)) = (y, \frac{1}{2}x)$$

is a bijection, so that $|S_8(2k)| = |S_8(k)|$, that is, $r_8(2k) = r_8(k)$. For $D \neq 4$ or 8 (so that $p(D) = D$) the mapping $\lambda : S_D(Dk) \rightarrow S_D(k)$ defined by

$$\lambda((x, y)) = \left(\frac{-2x + (D-1)y}{2D}, \frac{2x + y}{D} \right)$$

is a bijection, so that $|S_D(Dk)| = |S_D(k)|$, that is, $r_D(Dk) = r_D(k)$. Thus for all D we have (2.3). Hence from (2.1) and (2.3) we have $r_D(h) = r_D(h_1)$, where

$$h_1 = 2^{\alpha_0} p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r} q_1^{\beta_1} \dots q_s^{\beta_s} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{G.C.D.}(h_1, D) = 1,$$

recalling that $\alpha_0 = 0$ when $D = 4$ or 8. Now, for $D = 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$ the class number of discriminant $-D$ is one, so that by a theorem of Dirichlet [1] we have

$$r_D(h_1) = w_D \sum_{e|h_1} (-D/e).$$

Since the Kronecker symbol $(-D/e)$ is (completely) multiplicative with respect to e , $\sum_{e|h_1} (-D/e)$ is multiplicative with respect to h_1 , and we have

$$r_D(h) = w_D \left\{ \sum_{e|2^{\alpha_0}} (-D/e) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\sum_{e|p_i^{\alpha_i}} (-D/e) \right) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^s \left(\sum_{e|q_j^{\beta_j}} (-D/e) \right) \right\}.$$

Now as

$$\begin{aligned} (-D/2) &= +1, & \text{if } D=7, \\ &= 0, & \text{if } D=4, 8, \\ &= -1, & \text{if } D=3, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e|2^{\alpha_0}} (-D/e) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha_0} (-D/2^l) = \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha_0} (-D/2)^l \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_0 + 1, & \text{if } D=4, 7, 8, \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^{\alpha_0}), & \text{if } D=3, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Also for $i = 1, \dots, r$ we have

$$\sum_{e|p_i^{\alpha_i}} (-D/e) = \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha_i} (-D/p_i^l) = \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha_i} (-D/p_i)^l = \alpha_i + 1,$$

and for $j = 1, \dots, s$ we have

$$\sum_{e|q_j^{\beta_j}} (-D/e) = \sum_{l=0}^{\beta_j} (-D/q_j^l) = \sum_{l=0}^{\beta_j} (-D/q_j)^l = \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^{\beta_j}).$$

This completes the proof of (2.2).

As immediate consequences of Dirichlet's theorem we have:

COROLLARY 1. *If h is a positive integer then $r_D(h) = 0$ if and only if there exists some prime q (possibly $q = 2$ if $D = 3, 11, 19, 43, 67$ or 163) with $(-D/q) = -1$, which divides h to an odd power.*

COROLLARY 2. *If h is a positive integer then $r_D(h) > 0$ if and only if every prime $q|h$, with $(-D/q) = -1$, divides h to an even power.*

3. Two lemmas.

In this section we prove two lemmas which will be needed in the proof of theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. *Let q be a prime such that $(-D/q) = -1$, where D is given by (1.1). If k is a non-negative integer and x, y integers such that $q^k | g_D(x, y)$, then $q^{k_1} | x$ and $q^{k_1} | y$, where $k_1 = [\frac{1}{2}(k+1)]$.*

PROOF. If $k = 0$ the result is trivial so we can suppose $k \geq 1$. We consider three cases.

Case (i). $q \neq 2, D = 4$ or 8 .

As $q \neq 2$ we have $(-D_1/q) = (-4D_1/q) = (-D/q) = 1$. Now $q^k | x^2 + D_1 y^2$ and so as $k \geq 1$ we have $q | x^2 + D_1 y^2$. If $q | y$ there exists an integer z such that $yz \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and so $(xz)^2 \equiv -D_1 \pmod{q}$, which contradicts $(-D_1/q) = -1$. Hence we have $q | x$, and so $q | y$, say $x = qx_1, y = qy_1$. Moreover we have $q^k | q^2(x_1^2 + D_1 y_1^2)$ and so if $k \geq 2, q^{k-2} | x_1^2 + D_1 y_1^2$. If $k \geq 3$ we can continue in this way obtaining successively

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= qx_2, & y_1 &= qy_2, & q^{k-4} &| x_2^2 + D_1 y_2^2; \\ x_2 &= qx_3, & y_2 &= qy_3, & q^{k-6} &| x_3^2 + D_1 y_3^2; \\ & & & & & \dots; \\ x_{[\frac{1}{2}k]-1} &= qx_{[\frac{1}{2}k]}, & y_{[\frac{1}{2}k]-1} &= qy_{[\frac{1}{2}k]}, & q^{k-2[\frac{1}{2}k]} &| x_{[\frac{1}{2}k]}^2 + D_1 y_{[\frac{1}{2}k]}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If k is even the procedure terminates at this step and we have

$$x = q^{[\frac{1}{2}k]} x_{[\frac{1}{2}k]}, \quad y = q^{[\frac{1}{2}k]} y_{[\frac{1}{2}k]},$$

that is, $q^{k_1} | x, q^{k_1} | y$. If k is odd we can do one more step and obtain

$$x_{[\frac{1}{2}k]} = qx_{[\frac{1}{2}k]+1}, \quad y_{[\frac{1}{2}k]} = qy_{[\frac{1}{2}k]+1},$$

that is,

$$x = q^{[\frac{1}{2}k]+1} x_{[\frac{1}{2}k]+1}, \quad y = q^{[\frac{1}{2}k]+1} y_{[\frac{1}{2}k]+1},$$

or $q^{k_1} | x, q^{k_1} | y$.

Case (ii). $q \neq 2, D = 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$.

Now $q^k | x^2 + xy + D_1 y^2$ and so we have $q^k | (2x+y)^2 + Dy^2$. If $q \nmid y$ there exists an integer z such that $yz \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and so $\{(2x+y)z\}^2 \equiv -D \pmod{q}$,

which contradicts $(-D/q) = -1$. Hence $q|y$ and so we have $q|x$, say $x=qx_1$, $y=qy_1$. Moreover if $k \geq 2$ we have $q^{k-2}|x_1^2+x_1y_1+D_1y_1^2$. The proof can now be completed in a similar way to case (i).

Case (iii). $q=2$.

As $(-D/2) = -1$ we must have $D=3, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$, and so $g_D(x, y) = x^2 + xy + D_1y^2$, where $D_1 = \frac{1}{4}(D+1)$ is an odd integer. Now $2^k|x^2 + xy + D_1y^2$ so that we have $2|x^2 + xy + y^2$. If $2 \nmid y$ then $2|x^2 + x + 1$, which is impossible as $2|x^2 + x$. Hence $2|y$ and so we have $2|x$, say $x=2x_1$, $y=2y_1$. Moreover if $k \geq 2$ we have $2^{k-2}|x_1^2+x_1y_1+D_1y_1^2$, and again the proof can be completed as in cases (i) and (ii).

This completes the proof of lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. *Let $f(X, Y) = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$ be an integral, positive-definite, binary quadratic form of discriminant $-Dk^2$, where D is given by (1.1) and k is a non-zero integer. Then $f'(X, Y) = d^{-1}f(X, Y)$, where $d = \text{G.C.D.}(a, b, c)$, is a primitive, positive-definite, binary quadratic form of discriminant $-Dk'^2$, where k' is a non-zero integer.*

PROOF. Clearly $f'(X, Y)$ is a primitive, positive-definite binary quadratic form. Further, if it has discriminant $-Dk'^2$, where k' is an integer, then it is clear that k' must be non-zero. Hence it suffices to show that the discriminant of $f'(X, Y)$ is of the form $-Dk'^2$, for some integer k' . But the discriminant of $f'(X, Y)$ is the integer $-Dk^2/d^2$, so that it suffices to prove that $d|k$. If $D=3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$, this is clear, as in this case D is prime, and so $d^2|Dk^2$ implies $d|k$. This leaves the cases $D=4$ and $D=8$. We let $d=2^\alpha d_1$, where $\alpha \geq 0$ and d_1 is odd. From $b^2 - 4ac = -Dk^2$ we deduce that b must be even, say $b=2e$. Thus we have $ac = e^2 + D_1k^2$. Now $2^\alpha|d$ so that $2^{2\alpha}|ac = e^2 + D_1k^2$, which implies that $2^\alpha|e$ and $2^\alpha|k$, since $D_1=1$ or 2 . Thus the discriminant of $f'(X, Y)$ is the integer $-Dk_1^2/d_1^2$, where $k=2^\alpha k_1$. But d_1 is odd so that as $D=4$ or 8 we must have $d_1|k_1$, say $k_1=d_1k'$. Then the discriminant of $f'(X, Y)$ is $-Dk'^2$, as required.

4. Necessary and sufficient conditions for representability.

This section is devoted to proving theorem 1. Since all positive-definite, binary quadratic forms of discriminant $-D$ are equivalent for $D=3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$, it suffices to show that $f(X, Y)$ is representable by $g_D(X, Y)$ if and only if $r_D(d) > 0$.

We begin by showing that if $f(X, Y)$ is representable by $g_D(X, Y)$ then $r_D(d) > 0$. For suppose not, that is $r_D(d) = 0$. Then by corollary 1 there exists a prime q , with $(-D/q) = -1$, which divides d to an odd power,

say $q^{2s+1} \parallel d$. Thus we have $q^{2s+1} | a$, $q^{2s+1} | b$, $q^{2s+1} | c$. Now as $f(X, Y)$ is representable by $g_D(X, Y)$, there exist integers a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 with $a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \neq 0$ and such that

$$(4.1) \quad f(X, Y) = g_D(a_1 X + b_1 Y, a_2 X + b_2 Y).$$

Hence we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} a &= g_D(a_1, a_2), \\ b &= \begin{cases} 2a_1 b_1 + 2D_1 a_2 b_2, & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 2a_1 b_1 + a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 + 2D_1 a_2 b_2, & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \\ c &= g_D(b_1, b_2), \end{aligned}$$

and so $q^{2s+1} | g_D(a_1, a_2)$ and $q^{2s+1} | g_D(b_1, b_2)$. Thus by lemma 1 we have $q^{s+1} | a_1$, $q^{s+1} | a_2$, $q^{s+1} | b_1$, $q^{s+1} | b_2$, and so from (4.2) we deduce that $q^{2s+2} | a$, $q^{2s+2} | b$, $q^{2s+2} | c$, that is, $q^{2s+2} | d$, which contradicts $q^{2s+1} \parallel d$. Thus we must have $r_D(d) > 0$ if $f(X, Y)$ is representable by $g_D(X, Y)$.

Conversely, we show that if $r_D(d) > 0$, then $f(X, Y)$ is representable by $g_D(X, Y)$. We let

$$f'(X, Y) = d^{-1} f(X, Y) = a' X^2 + b' XY + c' Y^2,$$

so that $a' = a/d$, $b' = b/d$, $c' = c/d$. Thus by lemma 2 $f'(X, Y)$ is a primitive, positive-definite, binary quadratic form with

$$\text{discrim}(f'(X, Y)) = -Dk'^2,$$

where k' is a non-zero integer. Hence we have

$$b'^2 - 4a'c' = -Dk'^2, \quad \text{that is} \quad 4a'c' = b'^2 + Dk'^2.$$

If $D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then b' is even so that

$$(4.3)(a) \quad b' = 2b'', \quad a'c' = g_D(b'', k').$$

If $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $b' - k'$ is even so that

$$(4.3)(b) \quad b' = 2b'' + k', \quad a'c' = g_D(b'', k').$$

Hence from (4.3)(a) and (4.3)(b) we have $r_D(a'c') > 0$. Now let q be a prime (possibly $q=2$) dividing $a'c'$, which is such that $(-D/q) = -1$. Then by corollary 2 the highest power of q dividing $a'c'$ is even, say $q^{2\alpha} \parallel a'c'$, and so from (4.3)(a)(b), by lemma 1, we have $q^\alpha | b''$, $q^\alpha | k'$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \text{G.C.D.}(a', b', c') &= \text{G.C.D.}(a', 2b'', c'), & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ &= \text{G.C.D.}(a', 2b'' + k', c'), & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we have $q^{2\alpha} \parallel a'$, $q \nmid c'$ or $q \nmid a'$, $q^{2\alpha} \parallel c'$. Treating every prime factor q of $a'c'$, which is such that $(-D/q) = -1$, in this way, we see that we may write

$$(4.4) \quad a' = P^2 A, \quad b'' = PQB, \quad c' = Q^2 C, \quad k' = P Q K,$$

where P, Q are coprime integers all of whose prime factors q are such that $(-D/q) = -1$, and moreover A and C are free of such factors. From (4.3)(a)(b) and (4.4) we have

$$(4.5) \quad AC = g_D(B, K).$$

The only possible prime factors of A and C are the prime $p(D)$ or primes p such that $(-D/p) = +1$. We let p_1, \dots, p_k denote the primes $\neq p(D)$ which divide both A and C ; p_{k+1}, \dots, p_l the primes $\neq p(D)$ which divide A but not C ; p_{l+1}, \dots, p_m the primes $\neq p(D)$ which divide C but not A . Thus we have

$$(4.6) \quad (-D/p_i) = +1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Hence we can set

$$(4.7) \quad A = p(D)^{\alpha_0} p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k} p_{k+1}^{\alpha_{k+1}} \dots p_l^{\alpha_l},$$

and

$$(4.8) \quad C = p(D)^{\beta_0} p_1^{\beta_1} \dots p_k^{\beta_k} p_{l+1}^{\beta_{l+1}} \dots p_m^{\beta_m},$$

where $0 \leq k \leq l \leq m$ and

$$\alpha_0 \geq 0, \quad \beta_0 \geq 0, \quad \alpha_i > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, l; \quad \beta_j > 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k, l+1, \dots, m.$$

Now let Q denote the rational number field and let $Q((-D)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ (resp. $Q((-D_1)^{\frac{1}{2}})$) denote the quadratic extension of Q formed by adjoining $(-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (resp. $(-D_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$). We let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_D &= Q((-D_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}), & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ &= Q((-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}), & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\text{discrim}(\Delta_D) = -D$. The domain of all integers of Δ_D is denoted by $I(\Delta_D)$. Factorization of elements of $I(\Delta_D)$ into prime (equivalently irreducible) elements of $I(\Delta_D)$ is unique for $D = 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$ [5]. From (4.6) and corollary 2 we see that $r_D(p_i) > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Thus there exist integers u_i and v_i such that

$$p_i = g_D(u_i, v_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Hence we have

$$p_i = \pi_i \bar{\pi}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i &= u_i + v_i(-D_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ &= u_i + \frac{1}{2}v_i + \frac{1}{2}v_i(-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned}$$

is an element of $I(\Delta_D)$. Moreover π_i and $\bar{\pi}_i$ are conjugate, non-associated primes of $I(\Delta_D)$. Also by corollary 2 there exist integers u_0 and v_0 such that $p(D) = g_D(u_0, v_0)$; in fact we can take

$$\begin{aligned} (u_0, v_0) &= (1, 1), & \text{if } D=4, \\ &= (0, 1), & \text{if } D=8, \\ &= (-1, +2), & \text{if } D=3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(D) &= u_0 + v_0(-D_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ &= u_0 + \frac{1}{2}v_0 + \frac{1}{2}v_0(-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(D) &= 1 + (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D=4, \\ &= (-2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D=8, \\ &= (-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D=3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163. \end{aligned}$$

As $\pi(D)\overline{\pi(D)}=p(D)$, $\pi(D)$ is a prime in $I(\Delta_D)$. Moreover its conjugate $\overline{\pi(D)}$ is the associate $\varepsilon(D)\pi(D)$ of $\pi(D)$, where $\varepsilon(D)$ is the unit $-(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, if $D=4$, and -1 , otherwise. Hence the factorizations of A and C into primes in $I(\Delta_D)$ are given by

$$A = \varepsilon(D)^{\alpha_0} \pi(D)^{2\alpha_0} \pi_1^{\alpha_1} \overline{\pi_1^{\alpha_1}} \dots \pi_k^{\alpha_k} \overline{\pi_k^{\alpha_k}} \pi_{k+1}^{\alpha_{k+1}} \overline{\pi_{k+1}^{\alpha_{k+1}}} \dots \pi_l^{\alpha_l} \overline{\pi_l^{\alpha_l}},$$

and

$$C = \varepsilon(D)^{\beta_0} \pi(D)^{2\beta_0} \pi_1^{\beta_1} \overline{\pi_1^{\beta_1}} \dots \pi_k^{\beta_k} \overline{\pi_k^{\beta_k}} \pi_{l+1}^{\beta_{l+1}} \overline{\pi_{l+1}^{\beta_{l+1}}} \dots \pi_m^{\beta_m} \overline{\pi_m^{\beta_m}}.$$

Thus from (4.5) we have

$$(4.9) \quad g_D(B, K) = \varepsilon(D)^{\alpha_0+\beta_0} \pi(D)^{2\alpha_0+2\beta_0} \pi_1^{\alpha_1+\beta_1} \overline{\pi_1^{\alpha_1+\beta_1}} \dots \pi_k^{\alpha_k+\beta_k} \overline{\pi_k^{\alpha_k+\beta_k}} \pi_{k+1}^{\alpha_{k+1}+\beta_{k+1}} \overline{\pi_{k+1}^{\alpha_{k+1}+\beta_{k+1}}} \dots \pi_l^{\alpha_l+\beta_l} \overline{\pi_l^{\alpha_l+\beta_l}} \dots \pi_m^{\beta_m} \overline{\pi_m^{\beta_m}}.$$

Now let

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} h_D(B, K) &= B + K(-D_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ &= B + \frac{1}{2}K + \frac{1}{2}K(-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $h_D(B, K)$ is an element of $I(\Delta_D)$ such that

$$(4.11) \quad h_D(B, K) \overline{h_D(B, K)} = g_D(B, K).$$

Hence from (4.9) and (4.11) we have

$$(4.12) \quad h_D(B, K) = \eta \pi(D)^{\alpha_0+\beta_0} \pi_1^{\gamma_1} \overline{\pi_1^{\alpha_1+\beta_1-\gamma_1}} \dots \pi_k^{\gamma_k} \overline{\pi_k^{\alpha_k+\beta_k-\gamma_k}} \pi_{k+1}^{\gamma_{k+1}} \overline{\pi_{k+1}^{\alpha_{k+1}+\beta_{k+1}-\gamma_{k+1}}} \dots \pi_l^{\gamma_l} \overline{\pi_l^{\alpha_l+\beta_l-\gamma_l}} \dots \pi_m^{\gamma_m} \overline{\pi_m^{\beta_m-\gamma_m}},$$

where η is a unit of $I(\Delta_D)$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ are integers such that

$$0 \leq \gamma_i \leq \begin{cases} \alpha_i + \beta_i, & i = 1, \dots, k, \\ \alpha_i, & i = k + 1, \dots, l, \\ \beta_i, & i = l + 1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

Now let $s_i = \min(\alpha_i, \gamma_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, so that $s_i, \alpha_i - s_i, \gamma_i - s_i, \beta_i + s_i - \gamma_i$ are all non-negative integers, and set

$$\theta_1 = \eta \pi(D)^{\alpha_0} \pi_1^{\alpha_1} \bar{\pi}_1^{\alpha_1 - s_1} \dots \pi_k^{\alpha_k} \bar{\pi}_k^{\alpha_k - s_k} \pi_{k+1}^{\alpha_{k+1}} \bar{\pi}_{k+1}^{\alpha_{k+1} - \gamma_{k+1}} \dots \pi_l^{\alpha_l} \bar{\pi}_l^{\alpha_l - \gamma_l}$$

and

$$\theta_2 = \pi(D)^{\beta_0} \pi_1^{\beta_1} \bar{\pi}_1^{\beta_1 + s_1 - \gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\beta_k} \bar{\pi}_k^{\beta_k + s_k - \gamma_k} \pi_{l+1}^{\beta_{l+1}} \bar{\pi}_{l+1}^{\beta_{l+1} - \gamma_{l+1}} \dots \pi_m^{\beta_m} \bar{\pi}_m^{\beta_m - \gamma_m}.$$

The numbers θ_1 and θ_2 are elements of $I(\Delta_D)$ such that

$$(4.13) \quad \theta_1 \theta_2 = h_D(B, K), \quad \theta_1 \bar{\theta}_1 = A, \quad \theta_2 \bar{\theta}_2 = C.$$

Now as θ_1, θ_2 are elements of $I(\Delta_D)$ there exist rational integers R_1, R_2, S_1, S_2 such that for $i=1, 2$,

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_1 &= R_1 + S_1(-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \theta_2 &= R_2 + S_2(-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ & & & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \theta_1 &= R_1 + \frac{1}{2}S_1 + \frac{1}{2}S_1(-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \theta_2 &= R_2 - \frac{1}{2}S_2 + \frac{1}{2}S_2(-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ & & & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (4.10), (4.13) and (4.14) we have

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} B &= R_1 R_2 - D_1 S_1 S_2, & K &= R_1 S_2 + R_2 S_1, \\ & & & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ B &= R_1 R_2 - R_1 S_2 - D_1 S_1 S_2, & K &= R_1 S_2 + R_2 S_1, \\ & & & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.13) and (4.14) we obtain

$$(4.16) \quad A = g_D(R_1, S_1), \quad C = g_D(R_2, -S_2).$$

Now let

$$(4.17) \quad a_1' = PR_1, \quad a_2' = PS_1, \quad b_1' = QR_2, \quad b_2' = -QS_2.$$

Then from (4.3)(a)(b), (4.4), (4.15), (4.16) and (4.17) we obtain

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} a' &= g_D(a_1', a_2'), \\ b' &= \begin{cases} 2a_1' b_1' + 2D_1 a_2' b_2', & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 2a_1' b_1' + a_1' b_2' + a_2' b_1' + 2D_1 a_2' b_2', & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \\ c' &= g_D(b_1', b_2'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (4.18) we deduce that

$$f'(X, Y) = a' X^2 + b' XY + c' Y^2 = g_D(a_1' X + b_1' Y, a_2' X + b_2' Y).$$

Now as $r_D(d) > 0$, there exist integers u and v such that $d = g_D(u, v)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} f(X, Y) &= df'(X, Y) = g_D(u, v) g_D(a_1' X + b_1' Y, a_2' X + b_2' Y) \\ &= g_D(a_1 X + b_1 Y, a_2 X + b_2 Y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= ua_1' - D_1 va_2', & a_2 &= ua_2' + va_1', \\ b_1 &= ub_1' - D_1 vb_2', & b_2 &= ub_2' + vb_1', \end{aligned}$$

if $D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= ua_1' - D_1 va_2', & a_2 &= ua_2' + va_1' + va_2', \\ b_1 &= ub_1' - D_1 vb_2', & b_2 &= ub_2' + vb_1' + vb_2', \end{aligned}$$

if $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 &= (a_1' b_2' - a_2' b_1') g_D(u, v) \\ &= (a_1' b_2' - a_2' b_1') d \\ &= -PQ(R_1 S_2 + R_2 S_1) d = -PQKd = -k'd \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of theorem 1.

5. Number of representations.

This section is devoted to proving theorem 2. It suffices to count the number of representations of $f(X, Y)$ by $g_D(X, Y)$. If $f(X, Y)$ is not representable by $g_D(X, Y)$ then by theorem 1 $r_D(d) = 0$ and so the number of representations $= 0 = 2r_D(d)$, as required. Hence we may suppose that $f(X, Y)$ is representable by $g_D(X, Y)$ (so that $r_D(d) > 0$). Thus there exist integers a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 (with $a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \neq 0$) such that

$$(5.1) \quad f(X, Y) = g_D(a_1 X + b_1 Y, a_2 X + b_2 Y).$$

Now let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= a_1 + a_2(-D_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ &= a_1 + \frac{1}{2}a_2 + \frac{1}{2}a_2(-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= b_1 + b_2(-D_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ &= b_1 + \frac{1}{2}b_2 + \frac{1}{2}b_2(-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned}$$

so that α and β are elements of $I(\Delta_D)$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad f(X, Y) = (\alpha X + \beta Y)(\bar{\alpha} X + \bar{\beta} Y).$$

Hence the number of representations of $f(X, Y)$ by $g_D(X, Y)$ is just the number of ordered pairs (α, β) of elements of $I(\Delta_D)$ satisfying (5.2). Let $(\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ be a particular solution of (5.2) — we know at least one such solution exists. Since $I(\Delta_D)$ is a unique factorization domain we can let $\gamma_0 = \text{G.C.D.}(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and write $\alpha_0 = \gamma_0 \alpha_0'$, $\beta_0 = \gamma_0 \beta_0'$, so that $\text{G.C.D.}(\alpha_0', \beta_0') = 1$. Hence we have

$$\text{G.C.D.}(\alpha_0' \bar{\alpha}_0', \alpha_0' \bar{\beta}_0' + \bar{\alpha}_0' \beta_0', \beta_0' \bar{\beta}_0') = 1,$$

and so

$$d = \text{G.C.D.}(a, b, c) = \text{G.C.D.}(\alpha_0 \bar{\alpha}_0, \alpha_0 \bar{\beta}_0 + \bar{\alpha}_0 \beta_0, \beta_0 \bar{\beta}_0) = \gamma_0 \bar{\gamma}_0.$$

Thus

$$d(\alpha_0' X + \beta_0' Y)(\bar{\alpha}_0' X + \bar{\beta}_0' Y) = (\alpha X + \beta Y)(\bar{\alpha} X + \bar{\beta} Y)$$

and so as $\alpha_0'X + \beta_0'Y$ is a primitive, irreducible element of the unique factorization domain $I(\Delta_D)[X, Y]$ we have

$$\alpha_0'X + \beta_0'Y \mid \alpha X + \beta Y \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_0'X + \beta_0'Y \mid \bar{\alpha}X + \bar{\beta}Y .$$

If $\alpha_0'X + \beta_0'Y \mid \alpha X + \beta Y$ there exists $\delta \in I(\Delta_D)$ such that

$$\alpha X + \beta Y = \delta(\alpha_0'X + \beta_0'Y) ,$$

that is,

$$(\alpha, \beta) = (\delta\alpha_0', \delta\beta_0') , \quad \text{where } \delta\bar{\delta} = d .$$

Similarly if $\alpha_0'X + \beta_0'Y \mid \bar{\alpha}X + \bar{\beta}Y$ we deduce that there exists $\varepsilon \in I(\Delta_D)$ such that

$$(\alpha, \beta) = (\varepsilon\bar{\alpha}_0', \varepsilon\bar{\beta}_0') , \quad \text{where } \varepsilon\bar{\varepsilon} = d .$$

Thus there are $2r_D(d)$ choices for (α, β) , as required, unless

$$(\delta\alpha_0', \delta\beta_0') = (\varepsilon\bar{\alpha}_0', \varepsilon\bar{\beta}_0') ,$$

for some δ, ε in $I(\Delta_d)$ with $\delta\bar{\delta} = \varepsilon\bar{\varepsilon} = d$.

However, this is impossible, for otherwise

$$\begin{aligned} -Dk^2 = b^2 - 4ac &= (\alpha_0\bar{\beta}_0 + \bar{\alpha}_0\beta_0)^2 - 4(\alpha_0\bar{\alpha}_0)(\beta_0\bar{\beta}_0) \\ &= (\alpha_0\bar{\beta}_0 - \bar{\alpha}_0\beta_0)^2 \\ &= (\alpha_0'\bar{\beta}_0' - \bar{\alpha}_0'\beta_0')^2 d^2 = (\alpha_0'\beta_0' - \alpha_0'\beta_0')^2 \delta^2 \bar{\varepsilon}^2 = 0 , \end{aligned}$$

contradicting $D \geq 3$, $k \neq 0$. This completes the proof of theorem 2.

6. Example.

We conclude this paper with a numerical example which illustrates theorems 1 and 2. We let

$$f_1(X, Y) = X^2 + 3XY + 4Y^2 \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(X, Y) = 4X^2 + 4XY + 8Y^2 .$$

Thus $f_1(X, Y)$ and $f_2(X, Y)$ are integral, positive-definite binary quadratic forms of discriminants -7 and $-7 \cdot 4^2$ respectively. The greatest common divisor of the coefficients of $f_2(X, Y)$ is 4. By Dirichlet's theorem $r_7(4) = 6$ so, by theorem 1, $f_2(X, Y)$ is representable by $f_1(X, Y)$. Moreover by theorem 2 there are 12 such representations. Now $g_7(X, Y) = X^2 + XY + 2Y^2$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(X, Y) &= g_7(X + Y, Y), \quad f_2(X, Y) = g_7(2X, 2Y) , \\ f_2(X, Y) &= f_1(2X - 2Y, 2Y) . \end{aligned}$$

We seek all 4-tuples of integers (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2) with $a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 \neq 0$ such that

$$f_2(X, Y) = f_1(a_1X + b_1Y, a_2X + b_2Y) ,$$

that is, such that,

$$f_1(a_1X + b_1Y, a_2X + b_2Y) = f_1(2X - 2Y, 2Y),$$

or

$$g_7((a_1 + a_2)X + (b_1 + b_2)Y, a_2X + b_2Y) = g_7(2X, 2Y).$$

Let

$$(6.1) \quad \alpha = a_1 + \frac{3}{2}a_2 + \frac{1}{2}a_2(-7)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \beta = b_1 + \frac{3}{2}b_2 + \frac{1}{2}b_2(-7)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

so that we want all ordered pairs (α, β) of elements of $I(Q((-7)^{\frac{1}{2}}))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha X + \beta Y)(\bar{\alpha}X + \bar{\beta}Y) &= (2X + (1 + (-7)^{\frac{1}{2}})Y)(2X + (1 - (-7)^{\frac{1}{2}})Y) \\ &= 4(X + \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-7)^{\frac{1}{2}})Y)(X + \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-7)^{\frac{1}{2}})Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $I(Q((-7)^{\frac{1}{2}}))[X, Y]$ is a unique factorization domain we have

$$X + \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-7)^{\frac{1}{2}})Y \mid \alpha X + \beta Y \quad \text{or} \quad X + \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-7)^{\frac{1}{2}})Y \mid \bar{\alpha}X + \bar{\beta}Y.$$

Thus we have $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm (-7)^{\frac{1}{2}})\alpha$, where $\alpha \bar{\alpha} = 4$. All six solutions of this latter equation are given by

$$\alpha = \pm 2, \frac{1}{2}(\pm 3 \pm (-7)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Hence from (6.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2) &= (2, 0, -2, 2), (-2, 0, 2, -2), (2, 0, 4, -2), (-2, 0, -4, 2), \\ &(0, 1, -4, 2), (0, -1, 4, -2), (0, 1, 4, -1), (0, -1, -4, 1), \\ &(3, -1, 1, 1), (-3, 1, -1, -1), (3, -1, 2, -2), (-3, 1, -2, 2) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(X, Y) &= f_1(2X - 2Y, 2Y) &&= f_1(-2X + 2Y, -2Y), \\ &= f_1(2X + 4Y, -2Y) &&= f_1(-2X - 4Y, 2Y), \\ &= f_1(-4Y, X + 2Y) &&= f_1(4Y, -X - 2Y), \\ &= f_1(4Y, X - Y) &&= f_1(-4Y, -X + Y), \\ &= f_1(3X + Y, -X + Y) &&= f_1(-3X - Y, X - Y), \\ &= f_1(3X + 2Y, -X - 2Y) &&= f_1(-3X - 2Y, X + 2Y), \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. L. E. Dickson, *Introduction to the theory of numbers*, Dover Publications Inc., New York (1957), 78-79.
2. L. J. Mordell, *On the representation of a binary quadratic form as a sum of squares of linear forms*, Math. Z. 35 (1932), 1-15.
3. I. Niven, *Integers of quadratic fields as sums of squares*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1940), 405-417.

4. G. Pall, *Sums of two squares in a quadratic field*, Duke Math. J. 18 (1951), 399–409.
5. H. M. Stark, *A complete determination of the complex quadratic fields of class-number one*, Michigan Math. J. 14 (1967), 1–27.

CARLETON UNIVERSITY, OTTAWA, CANADA