

## ON EULER'S CRITERION FOR CUBIC NONRESIDUES<sup>1</sup>

KENNETH S. WILLIAMS

ABSTRACT. If  $p$  is a prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  there are integers  $L$  and  $M$  such that  $4p = L^2 + 27M^2$ ,  $L \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Indeed  $L$  and  $M^2$  are unique. If  $D$  is a cubic nonresidue  $\pmod{p}$  it is shown how to choose the sign of  $M$  so that

$$D^{(p-1)/3} \equiv (L + 9M)/(L - 9M) \pmod{p}.$$

The case  $D = 2$  has been treated by Emma Lehmer.

**1. Introduction.** If  $p$  is a prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  there are integers  $L$  and  $M$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad 4p = L^2 + 27M^2, \quad L \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.$$

Indeed  $L$  and  $M^2$  are unique. Moreover,  $L, M \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  so that 1,  $(L + 9M)/(L - 9M)$  and  $(L - 9M)/(L + 9M)$  are the three distinct cube roots of unity  $\pmod{p}$ . Thus, if  $D$  is an integer not divisible by  $p$ , by Euler's criterion we have

$$D^{(p-1)/3} \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } D \text{ is a cubic residue } \pmod{p}, \\ (L \pm 9M)/(L \mp 9M), & \text{if } D \text{ is a cubic nonresidue } \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

It is the purpose of this paper to show how the sign of  $M$  in (1.1) should be chosen so that if  $D$  is a cubic nonresidue  $\pmod{p}$  then

$$(1.2) \quad D^{(p-1)/3} \equiv (L + 9M)/(L - 9M) \pmod{p}.$$

Clearly there is no loss of generality in restricting  $D$  to be a prime  $\geq 2$ , and we consider two cases according as  $D = 2, 3$  or  $D \geq 5$ .

The case  $D = 2, 3$  is treated in §2 using the theory of cyclotomy. In this case it is well known that  $D$  is a cubic residue  $\pmod{p}$  if and only if

Received by the editors December 28, 1973 and, in revised form, April 2, 1974.  
 AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10A15; Secondary 12C20.

Key words and phrases. Euler's criterion, cyclotomy, cyclotomic numbers, root of unity modulo  $p$ , cubic residues and nonresidues.

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported by grant no. A-7233 from the National Research Council of Canada.

$M \equiv 0 \pmod{D}$ . In Lemma 1 explicit expressions are given for the cyclotomic numbers of order 3 (compare [2, p. 397]). These are used in conjunction with known results in the theory of cyclotomy (see Lemma 2) to show how  $M$  must be specified uniquely so that (1.2) holds (Theorem 1). In Theorem 1, (a) is due to Emma Lehmer [5], and (b) is new. Her approach is different to ours.

The case  $D \geq 5$  is treated in §3. In this case it is well known that if  $D$  is a cubic nonresidue  $\pmod{p}$  then  $LM \not\equiv 0 \pmod{D}$ , and use of this fact is made from time to time in the proofs. A congruence modulo  $D$  (see (3.1)) for the cubic Gauss sum proved by Ankeny [1], and a criterion for cubic residuacity given by Lehmer [4], are used to show how  $M$  must be specified uniquely in terms of a certain set  $\mathcal{L}_1(D)$  (see (3.6) and Lemma 5) so that (1.2) holds (Theorem 2). The set  $\mathcal{L}_1(D)$  is easy to calculate for any particular value of  $D$  and the values of  $\mathcal{L}_1(D)$  are given for  $D = 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19$ .

2.  $D = 2, 3$ . Let  $w = \exp(2\pi i/3) = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{-3})$ , so that  $1 + w + w^2 = 0$ . If  $p$  is a prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  we set, for any  $L, M$  satisfying (1.1),

$$(2.1) \quad \pi = \frac{1}{2}(L + 3M) + 3Mw,$$

so that  $\pi$  is a prime factor of  $p$  in the Eisenstein domain  $Z[w]$ . We define a cubic residue character  $\chi_\pi \pmod{\pi}$  by setting for any  $\alpha \in Z[w]$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \chi_\pi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} w^r, & \text{if } \alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi} \text{ and } \alpha^{(p-1)/3} \equiv w^r \pmod{\pi}, 0 \leq r \leq 2, \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}. \end{cases}$$

If  $g$  is a primitive root  $\pmod{p}$ , so that  $\chi_\pi(g) = w$  or  $w^2$ , for any integers  $h$  and  $k$  ( $0 \leq h, k \leq 2$ ) the cyclotomic number  $(h, k)_3$  of order 3 is defined to be the number of solutions  $(r, s)$  of  $g^{3r+h} + 1 \equiv g^{3s+k} \pmod{p}$  with  $0 \leq r, s < (p-1)/3$ . Our first lemma, which is well known, gives expressions for these cyclotomic numbers in terms of  $g, L, M$  and  $\pi$ .

**Lemma 1.**

$$9(0, 0)_3 = p - 8 + L,$$

$$18(0, 1)_3 = 18(1, 0)_3 = 18(2, 2)_3 = \begin{cases} 2p - 4 - L + 9M, & \text{if } \chi_\pi(g) = w, \\ 2p - 4 - L - 9M, & \text{if } \chi_\pi(g) = w^2, \end{cases}$$

$$18(0, 2)_3 = 18(2, 0)_3 = 18(1, 1)_3 = \begin{cases} 2p - 4 - L - 9M, & \text{if } \chi_\pi(g) = w, \\ 2p - 4 - L + 9M, & \text{if } \chi_\pi(g) = w^2, \end{cases}$$

$$9(1, 2)_3 = 9(2, 1)_3 = p + 1 + L.$$

For any integer  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  we define the index of  $a$  with respect to  $g$ , written  $\text{ind}_g(a)$ , to be the unique integer  $b$  such that  $a \equiv g^b \pmod{p}$ ,  $0 \leq b \leq p - 2$ .

The next lemma consists of well-known results from the theory of cyclotomy (see for example [7, Lemma 4, p. 26], and [6, Theorem 1 ( $e = 3$ ), p. 257]).

**Lemma 2.** (a) Let  $h = 0, 1, 2$ . Then  $\text{ind}_g(2) \equiv h \pmod{3}$  if and only if  $(0, h)_g \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

(b)  $\text{ind}_3(3) \equiv (0, 2)_3 - (0, 1)_3 \pmod{3}$ .

As  $D$  is a cubic residue  $\pmod{p}$  if and only if  $\text{ind}_g(D) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , we obtain immediately from Lemmas 1, 2 and (1.1) that, for  $D = 2, 3$ ,  $D$  is a cubic residue  $\pmod{p}$  if and only if  $M \equiv 0 \pmod{D}$ . Thus if  $D (= 2 \text{ or } 3)$  is not a cubic residue  $\pmod{p}$  we can distinguish between the two solutions  $(L, \pm M)$  of (1.1) as follows: (a) if 2 is not a cubic residue  $\pmod{p}$  then (1.1) has a unique solution  $(L, M)$  satisfying  $L \equiv M \pmod{4}$ , and (b) if 3 is not a cubic residue  $\pmod{p}$  then (1.1) has a unique solution  $(L, M)$  satisfying  $M \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ .

We can now prove Theorem 1.

**Theorem 1.** (a) If 2 is not a cubic residue  $\pmod{p}$  and  $(L, M)$  is the unique solution of (1.1) satisfying  $L \equiv M \pmod{4}$  then

$$2^{(p-1)/3} \equiv (L + 9M)/(L - 9M) \pmod{p}.$$

(b) If 3 is not a cubic residue  $\pmod{p}$  and  $(L, M)$  is the unique solution of (1.1) satisfying  $M \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$  then

$$3^{(p-1)/3} \equiv (L + 9M)/(L - 9M) \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof.** (a) Let  $(L, M)$  be the unique solution of (1.1) satisfying  $L \equiv M \pmod{4}$  and define  $\pi$  by (2.1). Let  $g$  be a primitive root  $\pmod{p}$ , such that  $\chi_\pi(g) = w$ . Thus for this primitive root  $g$  we have, by Lemma 1,  $18(0, 1)_3 = 2p - 4 - L + 9M$ , so that, as  $L \equiv M \pmod{4}$ , we have  $(0, 1)_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Thus by Lemma 2(a) we have  $\text{ind}_g(2) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , which gives

$$(2.3) \quad 2^{(p-1)/3} \equiv w \pmod{\pi}.$$

It follows from (2.1) that

$$(2.4) \quad (L + 9M)/(L - 9M) \equiv w \pmod{\pi}.$$

Putting (2.3) and (2.4) together we obtain

$$2^{(p-1)/3} \equiv (L + 9M)/(L - 9M) \pmod{\pi},$$

and the required result follows as both sides are real.

(b) Let  $(L, M)$  be the unique solution of (1.1) satisfying  $M \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$  and define  $\pi$  by (2.1). Again we choose  $g$  to be a primitive root  $\pmod{p}$  such that  $\chi_\pi(g) = \omega$ , and for this primitive root we have by Lemma 1,  $(0, 2)_3 - (0, 1)_3 = -M$ , so that, as  $M \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ , we have by Lemma 2(b),  $\text{ind}_g(3) \equiv (0, 2)_3 - (0, 1)_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , which gives  $3^{(p-1)/3} \equiv \omega \pmod{\pi}$ . The rest of the proof is now the same as in (a).

**Example 1.** Let  $p = 37$  so that  $4p = 148 = 11^2 + 27 \cdot 1^2$ . The unique solution given by Lemma 3(a) is  $L = -11, M = 1$ , and the one given by Lemma 3(b) is  $L = -11, M = -1$ . Thus by Theorem 1 we have

$$2^{12} \equiv \frac{(-11) + 9(1)}{(-11) - 9(1)} = \frac{1}{10} \equiv 26 \pmod{37}$$

and

$$3^{12} \equiv \frac{(-11) + 9(-1)}{(-11) - 9(-1)} = 10 \pmod{37}.$$

3.  $D \geq 5$ . Let  $D$  be a prime  $\geq 5$ . The Gauss sum  $G(\chi_\pi)$  is defined by

$$G(\chi_\pi) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_\pi(n) \exp(2\pi in/p),$$

and Ankeny [1] has shown that, if  $D \neq p$ ,  $G(\chi_\pi)$  satisfies the congruence

$$(3.1) \quad G(\chi_\pi)^{D^f - 1} \equiv \chi_\pi(D)^{-f} \pmod{D},$$

where  $f$  is the least positive integer such that  $D^f \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Using (3.1) and the well-known result  $G(\chi_\pi)^3 = p\pi$  (see for example [3, p. 116]) we obtain modulo  $D$

$$(3.2) \quad \chi_\pi(D) \equiv \begin{cases} p^{2(D-1)/3} \pi^{2(D-1)/3}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ p^{(D-2)/3} \pi^{(D+1)/3}, & \text{if } D \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

We next define for any integer  $k$

$$(3.3) \quad F_D(k) = \begin{cases} (k^2 + 27)^{2(D-1)/3} (k + 3 + 6\omega)^{2(D-1)/3}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ (k^2 + 27)^{(D-2)/3} (k + 3 + 6\omega)^{(D+1)/3}, & \text{if } D \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Now Emma Lehmer [4] has shown that for any prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  with  $LM \neq$

0 (mod  $D$ ), there is a set  $\mathfrak{L}(D)$  depending only on  $D$ , such that  $D$  is a cubic nonresidue (mod  $p$ ) if and only if  $L^2 \equiv k^2 M^2 \pmod{D}$  for some  $k \in \mathfrak{L}(D)$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{L}(D)$  may be taken as a subset of  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2}(D-1)\}$  and to have the property that if  $k \in \mathfrak{L}(D)$  then  $-k \in \mathfrak{L}(D)$ . Further we may assume that for each  $k \in \mathfrak{L}(D)$  there is some prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  with  $LM \not\equiv 0 \pmod{D}$  for which  $L^2 \equiv k^2 M^2 \pmod{D}$ . We also remark that  $\pm h \notin \mathfrak{L}(D)$ , where  $h^2 + 27 \equiv 0 \pmod{D}$  when  $D \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

We prove

**Lemma 3.** *If  $k \in \mathfrak{L}(D)$  then*

$$F_D(k) \equiv w \pmod{D}, \quad F_D(-k) \equiv w^2 \pmod{D},$$

or

$$F_D(k) \equiv w^2 \pmod{D}, \quad F_D(-k) \equiv w \pmod{D}.$$

**Proof.** As  $(k+3+6w)(-k+3+6w) = -(k^2+27)$  we have

$$F_D(k)F_D(-k) = \begin{cases} (k^2+27)^{2(D-1)}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ (-1)^{(D+1)/3}(k^2+27)^{D-1}, & \text{if } D \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Since  $D$  is prime, we have  $(D+1)/3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  when  $D \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . Also as  $k^2+27 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{D}$  for  $k \in \mathfrak{L}(D)$ , we have  $(k^2+27)^{D-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{D}$ .

Hence we have

$$(3.4) \quad F_D(k)F_D(-k) \equiv 1 \pmod{D}.$$

Further since  $k \in \mathfrak{L}(D)$  there exists a prime  $p$  for which  $D$  is a cubic nonresidue (mod  $p$ ) and such that  $LM \not\equiv 0 \pmod{D}$  and  $L \equiv kM \pmod{D}$ . Hence we have

$$4p \equiv (k^2+27)M^2, \quad 2\pi \equiv (k+3+6w)M \pmod{D},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} F_D(k) &\equiv \begin{cases} (4p/M^2)^{2(D-1)/3}(2\pi/M)^{2(D-1)/3}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ (4p/M^2)^{(D-2)/3}(2\pi/M)^{(D+1)/3}, & \text{if } D \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases} \\ &\equiv \begin{cases} p^{2(D-1)/3}\pi^{2(D-1)/3}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ p^{(D-2)/3}\pi^{(D+1)/3}, & \text{if } D \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(3.5) \quad F_D(k) \equiv \chi_\pi(D) \pmod{D}.$$

The result now follows as  $\chi_\pi(D) = w$  or  $w^2$  since  $D$  is a cubic nonresidue  $\pmod{p}$ .

Lemma 3 enables us to define for  $i = 1, 2$

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{L}_i(D) = \{k \in \mathcal{L}(D) : F_D(k) \equiv w^i \pmod{D}\},$$

so that

$$\mathcal{L}_1(D) \cup \mathcal{L}_2(D) = \mathcal{L}(D), \quad \mathcal{L}_1(D) \cap \mathcal{L}_2(D) = \emptyset.$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $D$  be a prime  $\geq 5$ . If  $p$  is a prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , for which  $D$  is a cubic nonresidue  $\pmod{p}$ , then we can define  $M$  uniquely by requiring it to satisfy  $L \equiv kM \pmod{D}$  for some  $k \in \mathcal{L}_1(D)$ .*

**Proof.** As  $D$  is a cubic nonresidue  $\pmod{p}$  by Lehmer's criterion,  $L^2 \equiv k^2 M^2 \pmod{D}$  for some  $k \in \mathcal{L}(D)$  and some solution  $(L, M)$  of (1.1). By replacing  $k$  by  $-k$  if necessary we may assume that  $k \in \mathcal{L}_1(D)$ . Now  $L \equiv \pm kM \pmod{D}$  with  $k \in \mathcal{L}_1(D)$ , and as  $L$  cannot satisfy both these congruences we may choose  $M$  uniquely so that  $L \equiv kM \pmod{D}$ .

We can now prove Theorem 2.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $D$  be a prime  $\geq 5$ . If  $p$  is a prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  for which  $D$  is a cubic nonresidue  $\pmod{p}$  and  $M$  is defined uniquely by  $L \equiv kM \pmod{D}$  for some  $k \in \mathcal{L}_1(D)$  then (1.2) holds.*

**Proof.** It follows from (2.1) that (2.4) holds. Further as  $L \equiv kM \pmod{D}$  with  $k \in \mathcal{L}_1(D)$ , we have  $F_D(k) \equiv w \pmod{D}$  and so  $\chi_\pi(D) \equiv w \pmod{D}$ , that is,  $\chi_\pi(D) = w$ . Hence we have

$$D^{(p-1)/3} \equiv (L + 9M)/(L - 9M) \pmod{\pi},$$

and the result follows as both sides are real.

**Example 2.** From Lehmer's criterion for cubic residuacity [4] we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(5) &= \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}, & \mathcal{L}(7) &= \{\pm 2, \pm 3\}, \\ \mathcal{L}(11) &= \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 5\}, & \mathcal{L}(13) &= \{\pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 6\}, \\ \mathcal{L}(17) &= \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 7\}, \\ \mathcal{L}(19) &= \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 8\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3) and (3.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_1(5) &= \{+1, -2\}, & \mathcal{L}_1(7) &= \{+2, -3\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_1(11) &= \{-1, -2, -3, +5\}, & \mathcal{L}_1(13) &= \{-2, +3, +4, -6\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_1(17) &= \{-1, +2, +4, -5, +6, -7\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_1(19) &= \{+1, +2, -4, -5, -6, -8\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus Theorem 2 gives as a particular case: let  $D$  denote one of 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19. If  $p$  is a prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  for which  $D$  is a cubic nonresidue  $\pmod{p}$  and  $M$  is defined uniquely by  $L \equiv kM \pmod{D}$  where

$$k = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ or } -2, & \text{if } D = 5, \\ 2 \text{ or } -3, & \text{if } D = 7, \\ -1, -2, -3 \text{ or } 5, & \text{if } D = 11, \\ -2, 3, 4 \text{ or } -6, & \text{if } D = 13, \\ -1, 2, 4, -5, 6 \text{ or } -7, & \text{if } D = 17, \\ 1, 2, -4, -5, -6 \text{ or } -8, & \text{if } D = 19, \end{cases}$$

then (1.2) holds.

Thus if  $p = 61$  and  $D = 19$  the required unique solution is  $L = 1$ ,  $M = 3$ , so that

$$19^{20} \equiv \frac{1 + 9 \cdot 3}{1 - 9 \cdot 3} \equiv \frac{-14}{13} \equiv 13 \pmod{61}.$$

It is interesting to note that the sum of the elements in each of the sets  $\mathcal{L}_1(D)$  ( $D = 5, 7, \dots, 19$ ) is congruent to  $-1 \pmod{D}$ !

4. **Acknowledgement.** The author would like to acknowledge his indebtedness to the referee whose extremely valuable suggestions enabled the author to greatly extend and improve the original version of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. C. Ankeny, *Criterion for  $r$ th power residuacity*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 1115–1124. MR 22 #9479.
2. L. E. Dickson, *Cyclotomy, higher congruences, and Waring's problem*, Amer. J. Math. 57 (1935), 391–424.
3. K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *Elements of number theory: Including an introduction to equations over finite fields*, Bogden and Quigley, Belmont, Calif., 1972.
4. Emma Lehmer, *Criteria for cubic and quartic residuacity*, Mathematika 5 (1958), 20–29. MR 20 #1668.
5. ———, *On Euler's criterion*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 1 (1959/61), part 1, 64–70. MR 21 #7191.
6. J. B. Muskat, *On the solvability of  $x^e \equiv e \pmod{p}$* , Pacific J. Math. 14 (1964), 257–260. MR 28 #2997.
7. T. Storer, *Cyclotomy and difference sets*, Lectures in Advanced Math., no. 2, Markham, Chicago, Ill., 1967. MR 36 #128.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CARLETON UNIVERSITY, OTTAWA, ONTARIO, CANADA