

Cubic Fields with Index 2

By

Blair K. Spearman¹ and **Kenneth S. Williams**²

¹Okanagan University College, Kelowna, B.C., Canada

²Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

(Received 23 May 2001)

Abstract. Let d be a squarefree integer with $d = 1$ allowed. If $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ it is shown that there do not exist any cubic fields with index 2 whose splitting field contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. If $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ it is shown that there exist infinitely many cubic fields with index 2 and minimal index 2 whose splitting field contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11R16

Key words: Cubic fields, power basis, index, minimal index, common index divisor, discriminant

1. Introduction

Let K be an algebraic number field of degree n over \mathbb{Q} . We denote the ring of integers of K by O_K . An element $\alpha \in O_K$ is called a generator of K if $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. If $\alpha \in K$ we denote the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} by f_α and set $d(\alpha) = \text{disc}(f_\alpha)$.

The field K is said to possess a power basis if it has an integral basis of the form $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ for some $\alpha \in O_K$. Not all algebraic number fields K have a power basis. Dedekind [1] gave the first example of a field K without a power basis, namely $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where $\theta^3 - \theta^2 - 2\theta - 8 = 0$. A necessary and sufficient condition for K to have a power basis is the existence of a generator α of K with $d(\alpha) = d(K)$. In Dedekind's example $d(K) = -503$ and $d(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for every generator α of K .

For a generator α of K , the index of α , written $i(\alpha)$, is the positive integer given by

$$d(\alpha) = d(K)i(\alpha)^2. \tag{1}$$

Clearly if $i(\alpha) \neq 1$ for all generators α of K then K does not have a power basis. We also define the minimal index of K by

$$m(K) = \min\{i(\alpha) \mid \alpha \text{ a generator of } K\} \tag{2}$$

and the index of K by

$$i(K) = \gcd\{i(\alpha) \mid \alpha \text{ a generator of } K\}. \tag{3}$$

Any divisor of $i(K)$ is called a common index divisor of K . In Dedekind's example $m(K) = i(K) = 2$. Clearly if $m(K) \geq 2$ then K does not have a power basis. It is known that for a cubic field $i(K) = 1$ or 2 [3, p. 234], [5, p. 585].

Dummit and Kisilevsky [2] have shown that there exist infinitely many cyclic cubic fields which have a power basis and Gras [4] has shown that there are infinitely many which do not. Spearman and Williams [7] have shown that there exist infinitely many cubic fields K with a power basis such that the splitting field M of K contains a given quadratic field. In this paper we prove the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let d be a squarefree integer (with $d = 1$ allowed).*

(a) *If $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ then there do not exist any cubic fields K with $i(K) = 2$ whose splitting field contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.*

(b) *If $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ then there exist infinitely many cubic fields K with $i(K) = m(K) = 2$ whose splitting field contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.*

2. Proof of the Theorem

Throughout this section p denotes a prime. For m a nonzero integer we let $v_p(m)$ denote the largest nonnegative integer k such that $p^k \mid m$, and we write $p^{v_p(m)} \parallel m$.

Let $x^3 - ax + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. The cubic polynomial $x^3 - ax + b$ is said to satisfy the simplifying assumption if the following condition holds:

$$\text{there does not exist a prime } p \text{ with } p^2 \mid a, p^3 \mid b. \tag{4}$$

The discriminant Δ of $x^3 - ax + b$ is given by

$$\Delta = 4a^3 - 27b^2. \tag{5}$$

If $\Delta \neq 0$ we let

$$s_p = v_p(\Delta), \quad \Delta_p = \Delta/p^{s_p}. \tag{6}$$

If $x^3 - ax + b$ satisfies the simplifying assumption (4) and is irreducible (so that $\Delta \neq 0$) with root θ then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ is a cubic field and Llorente and Nart [5, Theorem 2] have given the exact power of p in the discriminant D of K .

Theorem 1 (Llorente and Nart). *For $p = 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(D) = 3 &\Leftrightarrow s_2 \text{ odd,} \\ v_2(D) = 2 &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 1 \leq v_2(b) \leq v_2(a), \text{ or} \\ s_2 \text{ even and } \Delta_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \\ v_2(D) = 0 &\quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

For $p = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_3(D) = 5 &\Leftrightarrow 1 \leq v_3(b) < v_3(a), \\ v_3(D) = 4 &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} v_3(a) = v_3(b) = 2, \text{ or} \\ a \equiv 3 \pmod{9}, 3 \nmid b \text{ and } b^2 \not\equiv 4 \pmod{9}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_3(D) = 3 &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} v_3(a) = v_3(b) = 1, \text{ or} \\ 3 \mid a, 3 \nmid b, a \not\equiv 3 \pmod{9} \text{ and } b^2 \not\equiv a + 1 \pmod{9}, \text{ or} \\ a \equiv 3 \pmod{9}, b^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{9} \text{ and } b^2 \not\equiv a + 1 \pmod{27}, \end{cases} \\
 v_3(D) = 1 &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 1 = v_3(a) < v_3(b), \text{ or} \\ 3 \mid a, a \not\equiv 3 \pmod{9} \text{ and } b^2 \equiv a + 1 \pmod{9}, \text{ or} \\ a \equiv 3 \pmod{9}, b^2 \equiv a + 1 \pmod{27} \text{ and } s_3 \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \\
 v_3(D) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 3 \nmid a, \text{ or} \\ a \equiv 3 \pmod{9}, b^2 \equiv a + 1 \pmod{27} \text{ and } s_3 \text{ even}. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

For $p > 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_p(D) = 2 &\Leftrightarrow 1 \leq v_p(b) \leq v_p(a), \\
 v_p(D) = 1 &\Leftrightarrow s_p \text{ odd}, \\
 v_p(D) = 0 &\text{ otherwise.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Llorente and Nart [5, Theorem 4] have also given a necessary and sufficient condition for the index of K to be 2.

Theorem 2 (Llorente and Nart).

$$i(K) = 2 \Leftrightarrow a \text{ odd, } b \text{ even, } s_2 \text{ even and } \Delta_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}.$$

Proof of Theorem. (a) Suppose that there exists a cubic field K with $i(K) = 2$ whose splitting field contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ for some generator θ , which is a root of an irreducible cubic polynomial of the form $x^3 - ax + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ satisfying the simplifying assumption (4). As the splitting field of K contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ we have $\Delta = df^2$ for some integer f . Set $f = 2^t g$, where g is odd. By Theorem 2, s_2 is even and so d (being squarefree) is odd. Thus $s_2 = 2t$ and $\Delta_2 = dg^2$. Further, by Theorem 2, we have $\Delta_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ so that $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

(b) Suppose that d is squarefree and

$$d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}. \tag{7}$$

In (8), (9), (11) and (12) below we construct a parametric family of cubics $x^3 - ax + b$ in each of the five cases:

- Case 1 : $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.
- Case 2 : $d \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$, $d \not\equiv 12 \pmod{27}$.
- Case 3 : $d \equiv 12 \pmod{27}$.
- Case 4 : $d \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$, $d \not\equiv 15 \pmod{27}$.
- Case 5 : $d \equiv 15 \pmod{27}$.

The parametric families were constructed by starting with the parametric solution

$$a = 12m^2 + 9dn^2, \quad b = 16m^3 + 12dmn^2, \quad c = 72m^2n + 54dn^3,$$

of

$$4a^3 - 27b^2 = dc^2$$

and then imposing congruence restrictions on the parameters m and n so that a, b and $4a^3 - 27b^2$ contain powers of primes suitable for our purposes. We define for any positive integer k

$$p(k) = \begin{cases} 36d^2k^2 + 24dk + (3d + 4), & \text{case 1,} \\ 324d^2k^2 + 72dk + ((d/3) + 4), & \text{case 2,} \\ 576d^2k^2 + 384dk + ((d/3) + 64), & \text{case 3,} \\ 36d^2k^2 + 24dk + ((d/3) + 4), & \text{case 4,} \\ 144d^2k^2 + 96dk + ((d/3) + 16), & \text{case 5,} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

and

$$q(k) = \begin{cases} 3dk + 1, & \text{case 1,} \\ 9dk + 1, & \text{case 2,} \\ 12dk + 4, & \text{case 3,} \\ 3dk + 1, & \text{case 4,} \\ 6dk + 2, & \text{case 5.} \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Clearly $p(k) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $q(k) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(p(k), 6dq(k)) &= 1, & \text{cases 1, 2, 3,} \\ \gcd(p(k)/3, 6dq(k)) &= 1, & \text{cases 4, 5.} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

It is easily checked that the quadratic polynomials $p(k)$ in cases 1, 2 and 3, and $p(k)/3$ in cases 4 and 5, are primitive, have nonzero discriminants and no fixed divisors. Hence, by Nagel's theorem [6] (see also [7]), there are infinitely many positive integers k such that $p(k)$ in cases 1, 2 and 3, and $p(k)/3$ in cases 4 and 5, is squarefree. In each case we denote the set of such k by S . For $k \in S$ clearly $p(k) > 4$.

For $k \in S$ we define

$$f_k(x) = x^3 - ax + b, \tag{11}$$

where

$$a = a(k) = 3p(k), \quad b = b(k) = 4p(k)q(k). \tag{12}$$

By (10), (11) and (12), $f_k(x)$ ($k \in S$) is p -Eisenstein for any prime $p|p(k)$. Thus $f_k(x)$ is irreducible. Let $\theta = \theta_k$ be a root of $f_k(x)$ and set $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ so that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$. Clearly there are no primes p such that $p^2|a$ and $p^3|b$ so that the simplifying assumption (4) holds.

Next

$$\Delta = \Delta(k) = 4a^3 - 27b^2 = \begin{cases} 2^23^4d p(k)^2, & \text{case 1,} \\ 2^23^2d p(k)^2, & \text{cases 2, 3, 4, 5,} \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

so that

$$s_2 = 2, \quad \Delta_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}. \tag{14}$$

Clearly from (10) and (12) we have

$$a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \tag{15}$$

Hence, by Theorem 2, (14) and (15), we have $i(K) = 2$.

Next we show that $m(K) = 2$. As $i(K) = 2$ we have $m(K) \geq 2$. We show that $i(\theta) = 2$ proving $m(K) = 2$. As $i(K) = 2$ we have $2|i(\theta)$. Since $2^2 \parallel \Delta$ and $\Delta = d(K)i(\theta)^2$, we deduce that $d(K) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $2 \parallel i(\theta)$. It remains to show that $p \nmid i(\theta)$ for every prime $p \neq 2$.

From (7), (8), (9) and (12), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} a &\equiv 3 \pmod{9}, \quad b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \quad b \equiv 3d - 2 \pmod{9}, \\ b^2 &\equiv 6d + 4 \not\equiv 4 \pmod{9}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{case 1,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a &\equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \quad a \equiv 6 \pmod{9}, \quad b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \quad b \equiv 4e - 2 \pmod{9}, \\ b^2 &\equiv -2e + 6 \pmod{9}, \quad b^2 - a - 1 \equiv -2e - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{9}, \quad \text{as } e = d/3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ e &\not\equiv 4 \pmod{9}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{case 2,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a &\equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \quad a \equiv 6 \pmod{9}, \quad b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \quad b \equiv -1 \pmod{9}, \\ b^2 &\equiv 1 \pmod{9}, \quad b^2 - a - 1 \equiv 3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{9}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{case 3,}$$

$$3^2 \parallel a, 3 \parallel b. \quad \text{cases 4, 5.}$$

By Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} 3^4 &\parallel d(K), \quad \text{case 1,} \\ 3^3 &\parallel d(K), \quad \text{cases 2, 3,} \\ 3^5 &\parallel d(K), \quad \text{cases 4, 5.} \end{aligned}$$

By (10) and (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 3^4 &\parallel \Delta, \quad \text{case 1,} \\ 3^3 &\parallel \Delta, \quad \text{cases 2, 3,} \\ 3^5 &\parallel \Delta, \quad \text{cases 4, 5.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$3 \nmid i(\theta).$$

Now let p be a prime with $p \neq 2, 3$. If $p \nmid \Delta$ then $p \nmid i(\theta)$. If $p \mid \Delta$ then by (10) and (13) $p \mid d$ or $p \mid p(k)$ but not both by (10). If $p \mid d$ then by (10) and (13) we have $p \parallel \Delta$, and by Theorem 1 we have $p \parallel d(K)$, so $p \nmid i(\theta)$. If $p \mid p(k)$ then by (10) and (13) we have $p^2 \parallel \Delta$, and by (10) and (12) $p \parallel a, p \parallel b$, so by Theorem 1 we have $p^2 \parallel d(K)$, and so $p \nmid i(\theta)$. This completes the proof that $i(\theta) = 2$ and $m(K) = 2$.

Finally for $k_1, k_2 \in S$ we have $p(k_1) \neq p(k_2)$ for $k_1 \neq k_2$ and a fixed polynomial $p(k)$. As $p(k_1) > 0, p(k_2) > 0$, we have $p(k_1)^2 \neq p(k_2)^2$. Thus, by (13), $\Delta(k_1) \neq \Delta(k_2)$ and so

$$d(\mathbb{Q}(\theta_{k_1})) = \frac{\Delta(k_1)}{4} \neq \frac{\Delta(k_2)}{4} = d(\mathbb{Q}(\theta_{k_2})).$$

This shows that the fields $\mathbb{Q}(\theta_k)$ ($k \in S$) are all distinct. As $\Delta(k)/d$ is a perfect square for each $k \in S$, the splitting field of $\mathbb{Q}(\theta_k)$ ($k \in S$) contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.

We close by remarking that an integral basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\theta_k)$ ($k \in S$) is

$$\left\{ 1, \theta_k, \frac{\theta_k^2 + \theta_k}{2} \right\}.$$

References

- [1] Dedekind R (1930) Über den Zusammenhang zwischen der Theorie der Ideale und der Theorie der höheren Kongruenzen. *Abh Kgl Ges Wiss Göttingen* **23**: 1–23 (Gesammelte Mathematische Werke I, pp 202–232, Vieweg)
- [2] Dummit D, Kisilevsky H (1977) Indices in cyclic cubic fields. In Zassenhaus H (ed) *Number Theory and Algebra*, Collected papers dedicated to Henry B. Mann, Arnold E. Ross and Olga Taussky-Todd, pp 29–42, New York: Academic Press
- [3] Engstrom HT (1930) On the common index divisors of an algebraic field. *Trans Amer Math Soc* **32**: 223–237
- [4] Gras MN (1973) Sur les corps cubiques cycliques dont l’anneau des entiers est monogène. *Ann Sci Univ Besancon* (3) Fasc **6**: 26 pp
- [5] Llorente P, Nart E (1983) Effective determination of the rational primes in a cubic field. *Proc Amer Math Soc* **87**: 579–585
- [6] Nagel T (1922) Zur Arithmetik der Polynome. *Abh Math Sem Hamburg* **1**: 179–194
- [7] Spearman BK, Williams KS, Cubic fields with a power basis. *Rocky Mountain J Math* (in press)

Authors’ addresses: B. K. Spearman, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Okanagan University College, Kelowna, B.C., Canada V1V 1V7, e-mail: bkspearm@okuc02.okanagan.bc.ca; K. S. Williams, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1S 5B6, e-mail: williams@math.carleton.ca